International Conference on Scientific Computing

Properties and applications of the constrained dual Bernstein polynomials

Stanisław Lewanowicz & Paweł Woźny

Institute of Computer Science University of Wrocław, POLAND

e-mail: Pawel.Wozny@ii.uni.wroc.pl

S. Margherita di Pula, Sardinia, Italy, October 10–14, 2011

Part I. Definitions and properties

Dual Bernstein basis polynomials

• Bernstein basis polynomials of degree \boldsymbol{n}

$$B_{i}^{n}(x) = {\binom{n}{i}} x^{i} (1-x)^{n-i} \qquad (0 \le i \le n)$$

Dual Bernstein basis polynomials

• Bernstein basis polynomials of degree n

$$B_{i}^{n}(x) = {\binom{n}{i}} x^{i} (1-x)^{n-i} \qquad (0 \le i \le n).$$

• Associated with the Bernstein basis, there is a unique dual basis

 $D_0^n(x; \alpha, \beta), D_1^n(x; \alpha, \beta), \dots, D_n^n(x; \alpha, \beta) \in \Pi_n$

defined so that

$$\langle \mathsf{D}^{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{i}}, \, \mathsf{B}^{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{j}} \rangle_{\mathfrak{f}} = \delta_{\mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{j}} \qquad (\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j} = 0, 1, \dots, \mathfrak{n}),$$

where

$$\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle_{\mathbf{J}} := \int_0^1 (1-\mathbf{x})^{\alpha} \mathbf{x}^{\beta} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \qquad (\alpha, \beta > -1)$$

Dual Bernstein basis polynomials

• Bernstein basis polynomials of degree n

$$B_{i}^{n}(x) = {\binom{n}{i}} x^{i} (1-x)^{n-i} \qquad (0 \le i \le n).$$

• Associated with the Bernstein basis, there is a unique dual basis $D_k^n(x; \alpha, \beta) \in \Pi_n$ $(0 \le k \le n)$ defined so that

$$\left\langle \mathsf{D}^{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{i}},\,\mathsf{B}^{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{j}}\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{j}}=\delta_{\mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{j}}\qquad(\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{j}=0,1,\ldots,\mathfrak{n}),$$

where

$$\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle_{\mathbf{J}} := \int_0^1 (1-x)^{\alpha} x^{\beta} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \qquad (\alpha, \beta > -1)$$

• Shifted Jacobi polynomials $R_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ are orthogonal wrt the inner product $\langle f,g \rangle_J$, i.e.,

$$\left\langle \mathsf{R}_{k}^{(\alpha,\beta)},\,\mathsf{R}_{l}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\right\rangle_{J}=\delta_{kl}\mathsf{h}_{k}\qquad(k,l=0,1,\ldots;\;\mathsf{h}_{k}>0).$$

Constrained dual Bernstein basis polynomials

• Let us define

$$\begin{split} \Pi_n^{(k,l)} &:= \left\{ P \in \Pi_n \ : \ P^{(i)}(0) = 0 \quad (0 \leq i < k), \quad P^{(j)}(1) = 0 \quad (0 \leq j < l) \right\}, \\ \text{where } k+l \leq n. \text{ Certainly, } \Pi_n^{(k,l)} = \lim \left\{ B_k^n, B_{k+1}^n, \dots, B_{n-l}^n \right\}. \end{split}$$

Constrained dual Bernstein basis polynomials

• Let us define

$$\begin{split} \Pi_n^{(k,l)} &:= \left\{ P \in \Pi_n \ : \ P^{(i)}(0) = 0 \quad (0 \leq i < k), \quad P^{(j)}(1) = 0 \quad (0 \leq j < l) \right\}, \\ \text{where } k + l \leq n. \text{ Certainly, } \Pi_n^{(k,l)} = \text{lin } \left\{ B_k^n, B_{k+1}^n, \dots, B_{n-l}^n \right\}. \end{split}$$

 \bullet There is a unique constrained dual Bernstein basis of degree π

$$D_k^{(n,k,l)}(x;\alpha,\beta), D_{k+1}^{(n,k,l)}(x;\alpha,\beta), \dots, D_{n-l}^{(n,k,l)}(x;\alpha,\beta) \in \Pi_n^{(k,l)},$$
satisfying the relation

$$\left\langle \mathsf{D}_{\mathfrak{i}}^{(\mathfrak{n},k,\mathfrak{l})}, \, \mathsf{B}_{\mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{n}} \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{f}} = \delta_{\mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{j}} \quad (\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{j}=k,k+1,\ldots,\mathfrak{n}-\mathfrak{l}),$$

where

$$\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle_{\mathbf{J}} := \int_0^1 (1-\mathbf{x})^{\alpha} \mathbf{x}^{\beta} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \qquad (\alpha, \beta > -1)$$

Constrained and unconstrained dual Bernstein polynomials

• Constrained dual Bernstein polynomials $D_i^{(n,k,l)}(x; \alpha, \beta)$ can be expressed in terms of the unconstrained dual Bernstein polynomials of degree n - k - l, with parameters $\alpha + 2l$ and $\beta + 2k$ in the following way:

$$D_{i}^{(n,k,l)}(x;\alpha,\beta) = \binom{n-k-l}{i-k} \binom{n}{i}^{-1} x^{k} (1-x)^{l} D_{i-k}^{n-k-l}(x;\alpha+2l,\beta+2k)$$

• Ciesielski, 1987 ($\alpha = \beta = 0$, k = l = 0): definition, recurrence relation

- Ciesielski, 1987 ($\alpha = \beta = 0$, k = l = 0): definition, recurrence relation.
- Jüttler, 1998 ($\alpha = \beta = 0, k = l$): Bernstein-Bézier representation

- Ciesielski, 1987 ($\alpha = \beta = 0$, k = l = 0): definition, recurrence relation.
- Jüttler, 1998 ($\alpha = \beta = 0$, k = l): Bernstein-Bézier representation.
- L&W, 2006 (α , $\beta > -1$, k = l = 0): recurrence relation, orthogonal expansion, 'short' representation

- Ciesielski, 1987 ($\alpha = \beta = 0$, k = l = 0): definition, recurrence relation.
- Jüttler, 1998 ($\alpha = \beta = 0$, k = l): Bernstein-Bézier representation.
- L&W, 2006 (α , $\beta > -1$, k = l = 0): recurrence relation, orthogonal expansion, "short" representation.
- Rababah and Al-Natour, 2007: extented Jüttler's results to the case of arbitrary $\alpha,\ \beta>-1$

- Ciesielski, 1987 ($\alpha = \beta = 0$, k = l = 0): definition, recurrence relation.
- Jüttler, 1998 ($\alpha = \beta = 0$, k = l): Bernstein-Bézier representation.
- L&W, 2006 (α , $\beta > -1$, k = l = 0): recurrence relation, orthogonal expansion, 'short' representation.
- Rababah and Al-Natour, 2007: extented Jüttler's results to the case of arbitrary $\alpha, \beta > -1$.
- W&L, 2009 (α, β > −1, and k, l ∈ N): recurrence relation, orthogonal expansion, "short" representation, relation beetwen constrained and unconstrained dual Bernstein polynomials

• Least-squares approximation by Bézier curves (Jüttler, 1998)

- Least-squares approximation by Bézier curves (Jüttler, 1998).
- Computing roots of polynomials (Bartoň and Jüttler, 2007; Liu *et al.*, 2009)

- Least-squares approximation by Bézier curves (Jüttler, 1998).
- Computing roots of polynomials (Bartoň and Jüttler, 2007; Liu et al., 2009).
- Degree reduction of Bézier curves and surfaces (L&W)

- Least-squares approximation by Bézier curves (Jüttler, 1998).
- Computing roots of polynomials (Bartoň and Jüttler, 2007; Liu et al., 2009).
- Degree reduction of Bézier curves and surfaces (L&W).
- Polynomial approximation of rational Bézier curves (L&W)

- Least-squares approximation by Bézier curves (Jüttler, 1998).
- Computing roots of polynomials (Bartoň and Jüttler, 2007; Liu et al., 2009).
- Degree reduction of Bézier curves and surfaces (L&W).
- Polynomial approximation of rational Bézier curves (L&W).

\Downarrow

• **Problem.** Given a function f. Find the Bézier form of the polynomial $P_n \in \Pi_n^{(k,l)}$ which gives the minimum value of the norm

$$\|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{P}_n\|_{\mathbf{L}_2} := \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{P}_n, \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{P}_n \rangle_J}$$

- Least-squares approximation by Bézier curves (Jüttler, 1998).
- Computing roots of polynomials (Bartoň and Jüttler, 2007; Liu et al., 2009).
- Degree reduction of Bézier curves and surfaces (L&W).
- Polynomial approximation of rational Bézier curves (L&W).

\downarrow

• **Problem.** Given a function f. Find the Bézier form of the polynomial $P_n \in \Pi_n^{(k,l)}$ which gives the minimum value of the norm

$$\|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2} \coloneqq \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}} \rangle_{\mathbf{J}}}.$$

• Solution:

$$P_n(t) = \sum_{j=k}^{l} a_j B_j^n(t), \qquad a_j := \left\langle f, D_j^{(n,k,l)}(\cdot; \alpha, \beta) \right\rangle_J$$

- Least-squares approximation by Bézier curves (Jüttler, 1998).
- Computing roots of polynomials (Bartoň and Jüttler, 2007; Liu et al., 2009).
- Degree reduction of Bézier curves and surfaces (L&W).
- Polynomial approximation of rational Bézier curves (L&W)

\Downarrow

• **Problem.** Given a function f. Find the Bézier form of the polynomial $P_n \in \Pi_n^{(k,l)}$ which gives the minimum value of the norm

$$\|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2} \coloneqq \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}} \rangle_{\mathbf{J}}}.$$

• Solution:

$$P_{n}(t) = \sum_{j=k}^{l} a_{j} B_{j}^{n}(t), \qquad a_{j} = \int_{0}^{1} (1-x)^{\alpha} x^{\beta} f(x) D_{j}^{(n,k,l)}(x;\alpha,\beta) dx$$

Dual Bernstein polynomials: explicit formulae (L&W, 2006)

• Recurrence relation

$$D_{i}^{n+1}(x;\alpha,\beta) = \left(1 - \frac{i}{n+1}\right) D_{i}^{n}(x;\alpha,\beta) + \frac{i}{n+1} D_{i-1}^{n}(x;\alpha,\beta) + \frac{\vartheta_{i}^{n} R_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)}{\vartheta_{i}^{n} R_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)},$$

where

$$\vartheta_{i}^{n} := (-1)^{n-i+1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta+1)} \frac{(2n+\alpha+\beta+3)(\alpha+\beta+2)_{n}}{(\beta+1)_{i}(\alpha+1)_{n+1-i}}$$

Dual Bernstein polynomials: explicit formulae (L&W, 2006)

• Recurrence relation

$$D_{i}^{n+1}(x;\alpha,\beta) = \left(1 - \frac{i}{n+1}\right) D_{i}^{n}(x;\alpha,\beta) + \frac{i}{n+1} D_{i-1}^{n}(x;\alpha,\beta) + \vartheta_{i}^{n} R_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x).$$

• Orthogonal expansion

$$D_{i}^{n}(x;\alpha,\beta) = K \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} \frac{(2k/\sigma+1)(\sigma)_{k}}{(\alpha+1)_{k}} Q_{k}(i;\beta,\alpha,n) R_{k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x),$$

where $Q_k(\mathfrak{i}; \beta, \alpha, n)$ are Hahn orthogonal polynomials,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k(x;\alpha,\beta,N) &:= \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{(-k)_i(k+\sigma)_i}{(\alpha+1)_i(-N)_i} \frac{(-x)_i}{i!}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\sigma := \alpha + \beta + 1$, $K := \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta+1)}. \end{aligned}$

Dual Bernstein polynomials: explicit formulae (L&W, 2006)

• Recurrence relation

$$D_{i}^{n+1}(x;\alpha,\beta) = \left(1 - \frac{i}{n+1}\right) D_{i}^{n}(x;\alpha,\beta) + \frac{i}{n+1} D_{i-1}^{n}(x;\alpha,\beta) + \vartheta_{i}^{n} R_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x).$$

• Orthogonal expansion

$$D_{i}^{n}(x;\alpha,\beta) = K \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} \frac{(2k/\sigma+1)(\sigma)_{k}}{(\alpha+1)_{k}} Q_{k}(i;\beta,\alpha,n) R_{k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x).$$

• Short representations

$$D_{i}^{n}(x;\alpha,\beta) = \frac{(-1)^{n-i}(\sigma+1)_{n}}{K(\alpha+1)_{n-i}(\beta+1)_{i}} \sum_{k=0}^{i} \frac{(-i)_{k}}{(-n)_{k}} R_{n-k}^{(\alpha,\beta+k+1)}(x),$$

$$D_{n-i}^{n}(x;\alpha,\beta) = \frac{(-1)^{i}(\sigma+1)_{n}}{K(\alpha+1)_{i}(\beta+1)_{n-i}} \sum_{k=0}^{i} (-1)^{k} \frac{(-i)_{k}}{(-n)_{k}} R_{n-k}^{(\alpha+k+1,\beta)}(x)$$

•
$$D_i^n(x; \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{j=0}^n C_{ij}(n, \alpha, \beta) B_j^n(x)$$

•
$$D_i^n(x;\alpha,\beta) = \sum_{j=0}^n C_{ij}(n,\alpha,\beta)B_j^n(x).$$

• Jüttler, 1998 (
$$\alpha = \beta = 0$$
):

$$C_{ij}(n,0,0) = \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{\binom{n}{i}\binom{n}{j}} \sum_{h=0}^{\min(i,j)} (2h+1)\binom{n+h+1}{n-i}\binom{n-h}{n-i}\binom{n+h+1}{n-j}\binom{n-h}{n-j}.$$

•
$$D_i^n(x;\alpha,\beta) = \sum_{j=0}^n C_{ij}(n,\alpha,\beta)B_j^n(x).$$

• Jüttler, 1998 (
$$\alpha = \beta = 0$$
):

$$C_{ij}(n,0,0) = \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{\binom{n}{i}\binom{n}{j}} \sum_{h=0}^{\min(i,j)} (2h+1)\binom{n+h+1}{n-i}\binom{n-h}{n-i}\binom{n+h+1}{n-j}\binom{n-h}{n-j}.$$

• Rababah and Al-Natour, 2007:

extented Jüttler's results to the case of arbitrary $\alpha,\ \beta>-1$

•
$$D_i^n(x;\alpha,\beta) = \sum_{j=0}^n C_{ij}(n,\alpha,\beta)B_j^n(x).$$

• Jüttler, 1998 (
$$\alpha = \beta = 0$$
):

$$C_{ij}(n,0,0) = \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{\binom{n}{i}\binom{n}{j}} \sum_{h=0}^{\min(i,j)} (2h+1)\binom{n+h+1}{n-i}\binom{n-h}{n-i}\binom{n+h+1}{n-j}\binom{n-h}{n-j}$$

• L&W, 2011 $(\alpha, \beta > -1)$:

$$C_{ij}(n,\alpha,\beta) = \frac{1}{B(\alpha+1,\beta+1)} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(2m/\sigma+1)(\beta+1)_{m}(\sigma)_{m}}{m!(\alpha+1)_{m}} \times Q_{m}(i;\beta,\alpha,n)Q_{m}(j;\beta,\alpha,n),$$

where $Q_k(x; \alpha, \beta, N)$ are Hahn orthogonal polynomials, and $\sigma := \alpha + \beta + 1$

•
$$C_{ij}(n, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{B(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1)} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(2m/\sigma + 1)(\beta + 1)_m(\sigma)_m}{m!(\alpha + 1)_m} \times Q_m(i; \beta, \alpha, n) Q_m(j; \beta, \alpha, n)$$

•
$$C_{ij}(n, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{B(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1)} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(2m/\sigma + 1)(\beta + 1)_m(\sigma)_m}{m!(\alpha + 1)_m} \times Q_m(i; \beta, \alpha, n) Q_m(j; \beta, \alpha, n)$$

 \Downarrow

•
$$L_x Q_m(x;\beta,\alpha,n) = m(m+\sigma)Q_m(x;\beta,\alpha,n)$$
,

• where

$$L_{x}y(x) = a(x)y(x+1) - c(x)y(x) + b(x)y(x-1),$$

$$\begin{cases} a(x) := (x - n)(x + \beta + 1), \\ b(x) := x(x - a - n - 1), \\ c(x) := a(x) + b(x) \end{cases}$$

•
$$C_{ij}(n, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{B(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1)} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(2m/\sigma + 1)(\beta + 1)_m(\sigma)_m}{m!(\alpha + 1)_m} \times Q_m(i; \beta, \alpha, n) Q_m(j; \beta, \alpha, n)$$

 $\mathbf{L}_{i}C_{ij}(n,\alpha,\beta) = \mathbf{L}_{j}C_{ij}(n,\alpha,\beta)$

 \Downarrow

•
$$C_{ij}(n, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{B(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1)} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(2m/\sigma + 1)(\beta + 1)_m(\sigma)_m}{m!(\alpha + 1)_m} \times Q_m(i; \beta, \alpha, n) Q_m(j; \beta, \alpha, n)$$



 \downarrow

 \downarrow

Recurrence relation for $C_{ij}(n, \alpha, \beta)$

8/21

•
$$C_{ij}(n, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{B(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1)} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(2m/\sigma + 1)(\beta + 1)_m(\sigma)_m}{m!(\alpha + 1)_m} \times Q_m(i; \beta, \alpha, n) Q_m(j; \beta, \alpha, n)$$

•
$$C_{i+1,j} = \frac{1}{A(i)} \Big\{ (i-j)(2i+2j-2n-\alpha+\beta)C_{ij} + B(j)C_{i,j-1} + A(j)C_{i,j+1} - B(i)C_{i-1,j} \Big\},$$

where $C_{ij} \equiv C_{ij}(n,\alpha,\beta)$, and

$$\begin{cases} A(u) := (u - n)(u + 1)(u + \beta + 1)/(u + 1), \\ B(u) := u(u - n - \alpha - 1)(u - n - 1)/(u - n - 1) \end{cases}$$

•
$$C_{ij}(n, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{B(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1)} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(2m/\sigma + 1)(\beta + 1)_m(\sigma)_m}{m!(\alpha + 1)_m} \times Q_m(i; \beta, \alpha, n) Q_m(j; \beta, \alpha, n)$$

•
$$C_{i+1,j} = \frac{1}{A(i)} \left\{ (i-j)(2i+2j-2n-\alpha+\beta)C_{ij} + B(j)C_{i,j-1} + A(j)C_{i,j+1} - B(i)C_{i-1,j} \right\}$$

• Cross rule: $C_{i,j-1}$ C_{ij} $C_{i,j+1}$



•
$$C_{ij}(n, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{B(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1)} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(2m/\sigma + 1)(\beta + 1)_m(\sigma)_m}{m!(\alpha + 1)_m} \times Q_m(i; \beta, \alpha, n) Q_m(j; \beta, \alpha, n)$$

•
$$C_{i+1,j} = \frac{1}{A(i)} \left\{ (i-j)(2i+2j-2n-\alpha+\beta)C_{ij} + B(j)C_{i,j-1} + A(j)C_{i,j+1} - B(i)C_{i-1,j} \right\}$$



•
$$C_{ij}(n, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{B(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1)} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(2m/\sigma + 1)(\beta + 1)_m(\sigma)_m}{m!(\alpha + 1)_m} \times Q_m(i; \beta, \alpha, n) Q_m(j; \beta, \alpha, n)$$

•
$$C_{i+1,j} = \frac{1}{A(i)} \left\{ (i-j)(2i+2j-2n-\alpha+\beta)C_{ij} + B(j)C_{i,j-1} + A(j)C_{i,j+1} - B(i)C_{i-1,j} \right\}$$

 $C_{i-1,j}$

- Cross rule: $C_{i,j-1}$ C_{ij} $C_{i,j+1}$ \Longrightarrow complexity $O(n^2)$ $\hline{C_{i+1,j}}$
- Jüttler, Rababah and Al-Natour \implies complexity $O(n^3)$

Part II. Applications

• Discrete Bernstein polynomials (Sablonniére, 1992)

- Discrete Bernstein polynomials (Sablonniére, 1992)
- q-Bernstein polynomials (Phillips, 1997)

- Discrete Bernstein polynomials (Sablonniére, 1992)
- q-Bernstein polynomials (Phillips, 1997)
- Generalized Bernstein polynomials (L&W, 2004)

- Discrete Bernstein polynomials (Sablonniére, 1992)
- q-Bernstein polynomials (Phillips, 1997)
- Generalized Bernstein polynomials (L&W, 2004)

\Downarrow

One can consider dual basis also in all these cases

- Discrete Bernstein polynomials (Sablonniére, 1992)
- q-Bernstein polynomials (Phillips, 1997)
- Generalized Bernstein polynomials (L&W, 2004)

\Downarrow

One can consider dual basis also in all these cases

\Downarrow

Now, we focus on the discrete dual Bernstein polynomials and show that

- Discrete Bernstein polynomials (Sablonniére, 1992)
- q-Bernstein polynomials (Phillips, 1997)
- Generalized Bernstein polynomials (L&W, 2004)

\Downarrow

One can consider dual basis also in all these cases

\Downarrow

Now, we focus on the discrete dual Bernstein polynomials and show that

 these polynomials have a very nice application in CAGD

- Discrete Bernstein polynomials (Sablonniére, 1992)
- q-Bernstein polynomials (Phillips, 1997)
- Generalized Bernstein polynomials (L&W, 2004)

\Downarrow

One can consider dual basis also in all these cases

\Downarrow

Now, we focus on the discrete dual Bernstein polynomials and show that

- these polynomials have a very nice application in CAGD
- and are closely related to the (classical) dual Bernstein polynomials

Dual discrete Bernstein polynomials

• Discrete Bernstein basis polynomials of degree n (Sablonnière, 1992)

$$b_{i}^{n}(x;N) = \frac{1}{(-N)_{n}} {n \choose i} (-x)_{i} (x-N)_{n-i} \qquad (0 \le i \le n \le N; N \in \mathbb{N})$$

Dual discrete Bernstein polynomials

• Discrete Bernstein basis polynomials of degree n (Sablonnière, 1992)

$$b_i^n(x;N) = \frac{1}{(-N)_n} \binom{n}{i} (-x)_i (x-N)_{n-i} \qquad (0 \le i \le n \le N; N \in \mathbb{N}).$$

• Dual discrete Bernstein basis polynomials of degree n,

 $d_0^n(x; \alpha, \beta, N), d_1^n(x; \alpha, \beta, N), \dots, d_n^n(x; \alpha, \beta, N) \in \Pi_n,$

are defined so that

$$\left\langle \mathbf{d}_{i}^{n}, \mathbf{b}_{j}^{n} \right\rangle_{\mathsf{H}} = \delta_{ij} \qquad (i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n).$$

• Here

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{H} := \sum_{x=0}^{N} {\alpha + x \choose x} {\beta + N - x \choose N - x} f(x)g(x) \qquad (\alpha, \beta > -1)$$

Dual discrete Bernstein polynomials

• Discrete Bernstein basis polynomials of degree n (Sablonnière, 1992)

$$b_i^n(x;N) = \frac{1}{(-N)_n} \binom{n}{i} (-x)_i (x-N)_{n-i} \qquad (0 \le i \le n \le N; \ N \in \mathbb{N}).$$

• Dual discrete Bernstein basis polynomials of degree n,

 $d_0^n(x; \alpha, \beta, N), d_1^n(x; \alpha, \beta, N), \dots, d_n^n(x; \alpha, \beta, N) \in \Pi_n,$

are defined so that

$$\left\langle \mathbf{d}_{i}^{n}, \mathbf{b}_{j}^{n} \right\rangle_{\mathsf{H}} = \delta_{ij} \qquad (i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n).$$

Here

$$\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle_{\mathsf{H}} \coloneqq \sum_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}}^{\mathsf{N}} {\alpha + \mathbf{x} \choose \mathbf{x}} {\beta + \mathsf{N} - \mathbf{x} \choose \mathsf{N} - \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \qquad (\alpha, \beta > -1).$$

• Recall that Hahn polynomials are orthogonal with respect to this inner product

SC 2011

Dual discrete Bernstein polynomials: difference-recurrence relation

Theorem. Dual discrete Bernstein polynomials $d_i^n(x) \equiv d_i^n(x; \alpha, \beta, N)$ satisfy the following difference-recurrence relation:

$$\begin{split} a_{N}(x)d_{i}^{n}(x+1) + \left[c_{n}(i) - c_{N}(x)\right]d_{i}^{n}(x) \\ &+ b_{N}(x)d_{i}^{n}(x-1) - a_{n}(i)d_{i+1}^{n}(x) - b_{n}(i)d_{i-1}^{n}(x) = 0, \end{split}$$

where $0\leq i\leq n\leq N$, $d_{-1}^n(x)=d_{n+1}^n(x):=0$, and

 $a_n(x) := (x-n)(x+\alpha+1), \quad b_n(x) := x(x-\beta-n-1), \quad c_n(x) := a_n(x)+b_n(x).$

Dual discrete Bernstein polynomials: difference-recurrence relation

Theorem. Dual discrete Bernstein polynomials $d_i^n(x) \equiv d_i^n(x; \alpha, \beta, N)$ satisfy the following difference-recurrence relation:

$$\begin{split} a_{N}(x)d_{i}^{n}(x+1) + \left[c_{n}(i) - c_{N}(x)\right]d_{i}^{n}(x) \\ &+ b_{N}(x)d_{i}^{n}(x-1) - a_{n}(i)d_{i+1}^{n}(x) - b_{n}(i)d_{i-1}^{n}(x) = 0, \end{split}$$

where $0\leq i\leq n\leq N$, $d_{-1}^n(x)=d_{n+1}^n(x):=0$, and

$$a_n(x) := (x-n)(x+\alpha+1), \quad b_n(x) := x(x-\beta-n-1), \quad c_n(x) := a_n(x)+b_n(x).$$

Remark. Thanks to the above result, we can propose an efficient algorithm of solving the so-called problem of the degree reduction of Bézire curves, which is important in CAGD.

Multi-degree reduction of Bézier curves with constraints

• Given a Bézier curve of degree n, with control points $p_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$P_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n p_i B_i^n(t) \qquad (0 \le t \le 1),$$

where

$$B_{i}^{n}(x) = {\binom{n}{i}} x^{i} (1-x)^{n-i} \qquad (0 \le i \le n)$$

are Bernstein basis polynomials.

Multi-degree reduction of Bézier curves with constraints

• Given a Bézier curve of degree n, with control points $p_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$P_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n p_i B_i^n(t) \qquad (0 \le t \le 1),$$

where B_i^n ($0 \le i \le n$) are Bernstein basis polynomials.

• **Problem.** Find a degree m (m < n) Bézier curve,

$$Q_{\mathfrak{m}}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\mathfrak{m}} q_{i} B_{i}^{\mathfrak{m}}(t) \qquad (0 \leq t \leq 1),$$

such that the value of the error

$$\int_{0}^{1} (1-t)^{\alpha} t^{\beta} \| P_{n}(t) - Q_{m}(t) \|^{2} dt \qquad (\alpha, \beta > -1)$$

is minimized in the space Π^d_m under the additional conditions that

$$P_n^{(i)}(0) = Q_m^{(i)}(0) \qquad (0 \le i < k), \qquad P_n^{(j)}(1) = Q_m^{(j)}(1) \qquad (0 \le j < l),$$

where $k + l \leq m$, and $\|\cdot\|$ denote the Euclidean vector norm

Degree reduction: previous results

- Many papers relevant to this problem: Eck, 1995; Brunett et al., 1996; Farouki, 2000; Chen and Wang, 2002; Lee et al., 2002, Ahn, 2003; Ahn et al., 2004; Sunwoo and Lee, 2004; Sunwoo, 2005; Zang and Wang, 2005; Lu and Wang, 2006, 2007; Rababah et al., 2006.
- Part of them deal with the unconstrained case, i.e., k = l = 0.
- In most cases, k = l, and the Legendre parameters, i.e., $\alpha = \beta = 0$, are chosen.
- Chebyshev parameters, i.e., $\alpha = \beta = \pm 1/2$, are also considered: Rababah et al., 2006; Lu and Wang, 2007.
- The main tool used was transformation between the Bernstein and orthogonal polynomial bases.
- The total complexity of known algorithms for optimal multi-degree reduction of Bézier curves with constraints is $O(n^3)$

Degree reduction: motivation

- Data transfer and exchange between design systems.
- Data compression.

Multi-degree reduction of Bézier curves with constraints

• Given the polynomial $P_n \in \Pi_n$,

$$P_n(t) := \sum_{i=0}^n p_i B_i^n(t).$$

• We look for a polynomial $Q_m \in \Pi_m \ (m < n)$,

$$Q_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{t}) := \sum_{\mathfrak{i}=0}^{\mathfrak{m}} q_{\mathfrak{i}} B_{\mathfrak{i}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{t}),$$

which gives minimum value of the squared norm

$$\|\mathbf{P}_{n} - \mathbf{Q}_{m}\|_{L_{2}}^{2} \coloneqq \langle \mathbf{P}_{n} - \mathbf{Q}_{m}, \mathbf{P}_{n} - \mathbf{Q}_{m} \rangle_{J}$$

with the constraints

$$P_n^{(i)}(0) = Q_m^{(i)}(0) \quad (i = 0, 1, ..., k - 1),$$

$$P_n^{(j)}(1) = Q_m^{(j)}(1) \quad (j = 0, 1, ..., l - 1),$$

where $k + l \leq m$

SC 2011

Solution

• Constraints

 $q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{k-1}, \qquad q_{m-l+1}, q_{m-l+2}, \ldots, q_m$

Solution

• Constraints

 $q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{k-1}, \qquad q_{m-l+1}, q_{m-l+2}, \ldots, q_m.$

• Other coefficients

$$q_{i} = \sum_{j=k}^{n-l} w_{j} \Phi_{ij} \qquad (k \leq i \leq m-l),$$

where

 $\Phi_{ij} := \langle B_j^n, D_i^{(m,k,l)} \rangle_J$

Solution

• Constraints

 $q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{k-1}, \qquad q_{m-l+1}, q_{m-l+2}, \ldots, q_m$

• Other coefficients

$$q_{i} = \sum_{j=k}^{n-l} w_{j} \Phi_{ij} \qquad (k \leq i \leq m-l),$$

where

$$\Phi_{ij} := \langle B_j^n, D_i^{(m,k,l)} \rangle_J$$

Problem

For $k \leq i \leq m-l$ and $k \leq j \leq n-l$, propose an efficient algorithm of computing the quantities Φ_{ij} , where

$$\Phi_{ij} \equiv \Phi_{ij}^{(n,m,k,l)}(\alpha,\beta) := \langle B_j^n, D_i^{(m,k,l)} \rangle_J$$

Relation between Φ_{ij} and dual discrete Bernstein polynomials

Theorem. For i = k, k + 1, ..., m - l $(0 \le k + l \le m)$, and j = 0, 1, ..., n the following formula holds:

$$\Phi_{ij} := \langle B_j^n, D_i^{(m,k,l)} \rangle_J$$

$$= \binom{m-k-l}{i-k} \binom{n}{j} \binom{m}{i}^{-1} \frac{(\alpha+2l+1)_{n-l-j}(\beta+2k+1)_{j-k}}{(n-k-l)!} \Psi_{ij}$$

with

$$\Psi_{ij} \equiv \Psi_{ij}^{(n,m,k,l)}(\alpha,\beta) := d_{i-k}^{m-k-l}(j-k;\beta+2k,\alpha+2l,n-k-l).$$

Relation between Φ_{ij} and dual discrete Bernstein polynomials

Theorem. For i = k, k + 1, ..., m - l $(0 \le k + l \le m)$, and j = 0, 1, ..., n the following formula holds:

$$\Phi_{ij} := \langle B_j^n, D_i^{(m,k,l)} \rangle_J$$

$$= \binom{m-k-l}{i-k} \binom{n}{j} \binom{m}{i}^{-1} \frac{(\alpha+2l+1)_{n-l-j}(\beta+2k+1)_{j-k}}{(n-k-l)!} \Psi_{ij}$$

with

$$\Psi_{ij} \equiv \Psi_{ij}^{(n,m,k,l)}(\alpha,\beta) := d_{i-k}^{m-k-l}(j-k;\beta+2k,\alpha+2l,n-k-l).$$

Remark. All we need is a fast method for evaluation of

$$d_{i-k}^{m-k-l}(j-k;\beta+2k,\alpha+2l,n-k-l)$$

for $k \leq i \leq m-l, \ k \leq j \leq n-l$

Difference-recurrence relation

Theorem. Dual discrete Bernstein polynomials $d_i^n(x) \equiv d_i^n(x; \alpha, \beta, N)$ satisfy the following difference-recurrence relation:

$$\begin{split} a_{N}(x)d_{i}^{n}(x+1) + \left[c_{n}(i) - c_{N}(x)\right]d_{i}^{n}(x) \\ &+ b_{N}(x)d_{i}^{n}(x-1) - a_{n}(i)d_{i+1}^{n}(x) - b_{n}(i)d_{i-1}^{n}(x) = 0, \end{split}$$

where $0\leq i\leq n\leq N$, $d_{-1}^n(x)=d_{n+1}^n(x):=0$, and

 $a_n(x) := (x-n)(x+\alpha+1), \quad b_n(x) := x(x-\beta-n-1), \quad c_n(x) := a_n(x)+b_n(x).$

Difference-recurrence relation

Theorem. Dual discrete Bernstein polynomials $d_i^n(x) \equiv d_i^n(x; \alpha, \beta, N)$ satisfy the following difference-recurrence relation:

$$\begin{split} a_{N}(x)d_{i}^{n}(x+1) + \left[c_{n}(i) - c_{N}(x)\right]d_{i}^{n}(x) \\ &+ b_{N}(x)d_{i}^{n}(x-1) - a_{n}(i)d_{i+1}^{n}(x) - b_{n}(i)d_{i-1}^{n}(x) = 0, \end{split}$$

where $0\leq i\leq n\leq N$, $d_{-1}^n(x)=d_{n+1}^n(x):=0$, and

$$a_n(x) := (x-n)(x+\alpha+1), \quad b_n(x) := x(x-\beta-n-1), \quad c_n(x) := a_n(x)+b_n(x).$$

Remark. Thanks to the above result, we can propose an efficient algorithm of computing the quantities Ψ_{ij}

•
$$\Psi_{ij} \equiv \Psi_{ij}^{(n,m,k,l)}(\alpha,\beta) := d_{i-k}^{m-k-l}(j-k;\beta+2k,\alpha+2l,n-k-l).$$

- $\Psi_{ij} \equiv \Psi_{ij}^{(n,m,k,l)}(\alpha,\beta) := d_{i-k}^{m-k-l}(j-k;\beta+2k,\alpha+2l,n-k-l).$
- Quantities Ψ_{ij} $(k \le i \le m l; \ k \le j \le n l)$ can be put in a rectangular table,

- $\Psi_{ij} \equiv \Psi_{ij}^{(n,m,k,l)}(\alpha,\beta) := d_{i-k}^{m-k-l}(j-k;\beta+2k,\alpha+2l,n-k-l).$
- Quantities Ψ_{ij} $(k \le i \le m l; k \le j \le n l)$ can be put in a rectangular table.
- Using difference-recurrence relation for dual discrete Bernstein polynomials, one may obtain the element $\Psi_{i+1,j}$ in terms of four elements from the rows number i and i-1.

$$\begin{array}{c} \Psi_{i-1,j} \\ \Psi_{i,j-1} \quad \Psi_{ij} \quad \Psi_{i,j+1} \\ \hline \Psi_{i+1,j} \end{array} \end{array}$$

- $\Psi_{ij} \equiv \Psi_{ij}^{(n,m,k,l)}(\alpha,\beta) := d_{i-k}^{m-k-l}(j-k;\beta+2k,\alpha+2l,n-k-l).$
- Quantities Ψ_{ij} $(k \le i \le m l; k \le j \le n l)$ can be put in a rectangular table.
- Using difference-recurrence relation for dual discrete Bernstein polynomials, one may obtain the element $\Psi_{i+1,j}$ in terms of four elements from the rows number i and i-1.

$$\begin{array}{c} \Psi_{i-1,j} \\ \Psi_{i,j-1} \quad \Psi_{ij} \quad \Psi_{i,j+1} \\ \hline \Psi_{i+1,j} \end{array}$$

• More specifically,

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{i+1,j} &= \{A(n,j) \, \Psi_{i,j-1} + [C(m,i) - C(n,j)] \, \Psi_{ij} + \\ &\quad B(n,j) \, \Psi_{i,j+1} - A(m,i) \, \Psi_{i-1,j} \} / B(m,i), \\ A(r,s) &:= (k-s)(r+l-s+\alpha+1), \ B(r,s) := (s+l-r)(k+s+\beta+1), \ C(r,s) := A(r,s) + B(r,s). \end{split}$$

- $\Psi_{ij} \equiv \Psi_{ij}^{(n,m,k,l)}(\alpha,\beta) := d_{i-k}^{m-k-l}(j-k;\beta+2k,\alpha+2l,n-k-l).$
- Quantities Ψ_{ij} $(k \le i \le m l; k \le j \le n l)$ can be put in a rectangular table.
- Using difference-recurrence relation for dual discrete Bernstein polynomials, one may obtain the element $\Psi_{i+1,j}$ in terms of four elements from the rows number i and i-1.

$$\begin{array}{c} \Psi_{i-1,j} \\ \Psi_{i,j-1} \quad \Psi_{ij} \quad \Psi_{i,j+1} \\ \hline \Psi_{i+1,j} \end{array}$$

• Cost: O(nm)

Degree reduction: conclusions

- We solved the problem of optimal multi-degree reduction of Bézier curves with constraints in the general case, i.e., for α , $\beta > -1$, and arbitrary $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$.
- In our approach, we use the dual constrained Bernstein and dual discrete Bernstein polynomials.
- Our method does not use explicitly transformation between the Bernstein and orthogonal polynomial bases.
- The main tool is the difference-recurrence relation for dual discrete Bernstein polynomials.
- The complexity of the method is O(nm), which seems to be significantly less than complexity of most known algorithms for multi-degree reduction of Bézier curves with constraints.