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## Note

In the classical context, there exists a generalized family proposed by Salicrú (Csiszàr); Contains the Shannon entropy, that of Rényi, Havrda-Charvát (Daróczy, Vajda, Tsallis, ...) among others.

## GOALS

- To define a generalized family of quantum entropies.
- To study their properties (common or specific).
- To apply them in quantum information processing.
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## Definition

Let $p=\left[\begin{array}{lll}p_{1} & \cdots & p_{N}\end{array}\right] \in[0 ; 1]^{N}, \quad \sum_{k} p_{k}=1$

$$
H_{(h, \phi)}(p)=h\left(\sum_{k} \phi\left(p_{k}\right)\right)
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$\phi:[0 ; 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ y $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

- $\phi$ is concave and $h$ is increasing, or
- $\phi$ is convex and $h$ is decreasing
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## Moreover

- $\phi(0)=0$ (no elementary uncertainty associated to the probability 0 )
- $h(\phi(1))=0$ (no uncertainty associated to a deterministic state)

Salicru et al., Asymptotic distribution of ( $h, \phi$ )-entropies, Comm. in Stat.: Th. Meth. (1993)

## FAMOUS EXAMPLES

|  | $\phi$ | $h$ | $H_{(h, \phi)}(p)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shannon | $-x \ln x$ | $x$ | $-\sum_{k} p_{k} \ln p_{k}$ |
| Rényi | $x^{\alpha}$ | $\frac{\ln x}{1-\alpha}$ | $\frac{\ln \left(\sum_{k} p_{k}^{\alpha}\right)}{1-\alpha}$ |
| HCT | $x^{\alpha}$ | $\frac{x-1}{1-\alpha}$ | $\frac{\sum_{k} p_{k}^{\alpha}-1}{1-\alpha}$ |
| Unified | $x^{r}$ | $\frac{x^{s}-1}{(1-r) s}$ | $\frac{\left(\sum_{k} p_{k}^{r}\right)^{s}-1}{(1-r) s}$ |
| Kaniadakis | $\frac{x^{1-\kappa}-x^{1+\kappa}}{2 \kappa}$ | $x$ | $\frac{\sum_{k}\left(p_{k}^{1-\kappa}-p_{k}^{1+\kappa}\right)}{2 \kappa}$ |

## BASIC PROPERTIES

For any pair of entropic functional $(h, \phi)$,

## BASIC PROPERTIES

- Invariance to a permutation of the $p_{k}$ 's
- Expansibility: $H_{(h, \phi)}\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}p_{1} \cdots p_{N} & 0\end{array}\right]\right)=H_{(h, \phi)}\left(\left[p_{1} \cdots p_{N}\right]\right)$ (consequence of $\phi(0)=0$ )
- Fusion: $H_{(h, \phi)}\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}p_{1} & p_{2} \cdots p_{N}\end{array}\right]\right) \geq H_{(h, \phi)}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}p_{1}+p_{2} & \cdots\end{array} p_{N}\right]\right)$ (Petković's inequality $\phi(a+b) \leq \phi(a)+\phi(b)$ for concave $\phi$ with $\phi(0)=0$ )
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$$
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## Examples

For any $p$, of dimension $N$,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{1}{N}
\end{array}\right] \prec\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
\frac{1}{\|p\|_{0}} \cdots & \frac{1}{\|p\|_{0}} & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right] \prec\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$
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## SCHUR-CONCAVITY

- $p \prec p^{\prime} \quad \Rightarrow \quad H_{(h, \phi)}(p) \geq H_{(h, \phi)}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \quad$ (equality iif $p \equiv p^{\prime}$ )
(consequence of the Karamata's theorem)
- Reciprocal if for all pairs $(h, \phi)$
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## Bounds

$$
0 \leq H_{(h, \phi)}(p) \leq h\left(\|p\|_{0} \phi\left(\frac{1}{\|p\|_{0}}\right)\right) \leq h\left(N \phi\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right)
$$

certainty uniform
(consequence of majorization relationships)

## PROGRAMA

(1) Motivations \& Goals
(2) CLASSICAL $(h, \phi)$-ENTROPIES

- Definition
- Properties
(3) Quantum $(h, \phi)$-Entropies
- Definition
- Basic properties
(4) Composite quantum systems
- Bipartite systems - (sub)additivity, pure state
- ( $h, \phi$ )-entropy and entanglement
(3) Relative $(h, \phi)$-Entropies
- Classical context
- Quantum context


## QUANTUM $(h, \phi)$-ENTROPY: DEFINITION

Let $\rho$ be a density operator acting on $\mathcal{H}^{N}$
( $\rho \geq 0$ hermitian, with $\operatorname{Tr} \rho=1$ )

## Definition

$$
\boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}(\rho)=h(\operatorname{Tr} \phi(\rho))
$$

with $\phi:[0 ; 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \phi(0)=0 \quad \& \quad h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, h(\phi(1))=0$,

- $\phi$ is concave and $h$ is increasing, or
- $\phi$ is convex and $h$ is decreasing
(for $\left.\rho=\sum_{k} \lambda_{k}\left|e_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{k}\right|, \phi(\rho)=\sum_{k} \phi\left(\lambda_{k}\right)\left|e_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{k}\right|\right)$
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## DIAGONAL FORM
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- $\left\{\left|e_{k}\right\rangle\right\}$ is the orthonomal base of $\mathcal{H}^{N}$ that diagonalizes $\rho$,
- $\lambda=\left[\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{N}\right] \in[0 ; 1]^{N}, \quad \sum_{k} \lambda_{k}=1$ the eigenvalues of $\rho$
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## Bounds

$$
0 \leq \boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}(\rho) \leq h\left(\operatorname{rank} \rho \phi\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{rank} \rho}\right)\right) \leq h\left(N \phi\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right)
$$

pure state $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ max. mixed $\frac{I}{N}$

## Properties specific to the quantum context

## Concavity

If $h$ is concave, then $\boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}(\cdot)$ is concave,

$$
\boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}\left(\omega \rho+(1-\omega) \rho^{\prime}\right) \geq \omega \boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}(\rho)+(1-\omega) \boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)
$$

(Peierls's inequality, $\operatorname{Tr}(\rho) \leq \sum_{k} \phi\left(\left\langle f_{k}\right| \rho\left|f_{k}\right\rangle\right) \& \phi$ concave)
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## Entropy vs diagonal

$p^{E}(\rho)$ diag. $\rho$ in $E=\left\{e_{k}\right\}$ orth. base: $\quad \boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}(\rho) \leq H_{(h, \phi)}\left(p^{E}(\rho)\right)$ (Schur-Horn's theorem: $p^{E}(\rho) \prec \lambda$ )
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## Consequence

$\left\{E_{k}\right\} \in \mathbb{E}$ rank one POVM, $p^{E}(\rho)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(E_{k} \rho\right)$,

$$
\boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}(\rho)=\min _{\mathbb{E}} H_{(h, \phi)}\left(p^{E}(\rho)\right)
$$
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## AdDITIVITIES, PURE STATE

Let $\mathcal{H}^{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{B}, \quad \rho^{A B}, \quad \rho^{A}=\operatorname{Tr}_{B} \rho^{A B}, \quad \rho^{B}=\operatorname{Tr}_{A} \rho^{A B}$

## (Sub)ADDITIVITY

- If (i) $\phi(a b)=\phi(a) b+a \phi(b)$ and $h(x+y)=h(x)+h(y)$, or
(ii) $\phi(a b)=\phi(a) \phi(b)$ and $h(x y)=h(x)+h(y)$, then

$$
\boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}\left(\rho^{A} \otimes \rho^{B}\right)=\boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}\left(\rho^{A}\right)+\boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}\left(\rho^{B}\right)
$$

(e.g., von Neuman, Rényi)
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Pure states

$$
\rho^{A B}=|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}\left(\rho^{A}\right)=\boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}\left(\rho^{B}\right)
$$

(Schmidt's decomposition)

Bipartite systems - (sub)additivity, pure state ( $h, \phi$ )-entropy and entanglement

## SEPARABLE STATES

Separable states:

$$
\rho^{A B}=\sum_{m} \omega_{m}\left|\Psi_{m}^{A}\right\rangle\left\langle\Psi_{m}^{A}\right| \otimes\left|\Psi_{m}^{B}\right\rangle\left\langle\Psi_{m}^{B}\right| \quad \omega_{m} \geq 0, \sum_{m} \omega_{m}=1
$$
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$$
\rho^{A B}=\sum_{m} \omega_{m}\left|\Psi_{m}^{A}\right\rangle\left\langle\Psi_{m}^{A}\right| \otimes\left|\Psi_{m}^{B}\right\rangle\left\langle\Psi_{m}^{B}\right| \quad \omega_{m} \geq 0, \sum_{m} \omega_{m}=1
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## SEPARABILITY INEQUALITY

If $\rho^{A B}$ is separable, then

$$
\boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}\left(\rho^{A B}\right) \geq \max \left\{\boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}\left(\rho^{A}\right), \boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}\left(\rho^{B}\right)\right\}
$$

$\left(\rho^{A B} \prec \rho^{A} \& \rho^{A B} \prec \rho^{B}\right)$
Generalizable to multipartite systems and totally separable states

## Entanglement Detection: an example

Werner: $\quad \rho^{A B}=\omega\left|\Psi^{-}\right\rangle\left\langle\Psi^{-}\right|+(1-\omega) \frac{I}{4}$,

$$
\left|\Psi^{-}\right\rangle=\frac{|00\rangle-|11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}
$$

Entangled iif $\omega>\frac{1}{3} ; \quad \rho^{A}=\rho^{B}=\frac{I}{2}$
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## ReLATIVE ENTROPY AND MUTUAL INFORMATION

Conditional probability: $p^{A \mid B=b}=\frac{p_{a, b}^{A B}}{p_{b}^{B}}$

## Relative entropy and mutual information

Conditional probability: $p^{A \mid B=b}=\frac{p_{a, b}^{A B}}{p_{b}^{B}}$

## From the conditional probability

Relative entropy: $H_{(h, \phi)}^{\mathcal{J}}(A \mid B)=\sum_{b} p_{b}^{B} H_{(h, \phi)}\left(p^{A \mid B=b}\right)$
Mutual information: $\mathcal{J}_{(h, \phi)}(A ; B)=H_{(h, \phi)}(A)-H_{(h, \phi)}^{\mathcal{J}}(A \mid B)$
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Mutual information: $\mathcal{J}_{(h, \phi)}(A ; B)=H_{(h, \phi)}(A)-H_{(h, \phi)}^{\mathcal{J}}(A \mid B)$
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FROM THE CHAIN RULE
Relative entropy: $H_{(h, \phi)}^{\mathcal{I}}(A \mid B)=H_{(h, \phi)}(A, B)-H_{(h, \phi)}(B)$
Mutual information: $\mathcal{I}_{(h, \phi)}(A ; B)=H_{(h, \phi)}(A)-H_{(h, \phi)}^{\mathcal{I}}(A \mid B)$
$\mathcal{I}_{(h, \phi)}$ symmetrical, but with no guarantee that $\mathcal{I}_{(h, \phi)} \geq 0 \ldots$
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$\left\{\Pi^{B}\right\}$ local projective measurement:
$p_{j}^{B}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(I \otimes \Pi_{j}^{B} \rho^{A B}\right), \quad \rho^{A \mid \Pi_{j}^{B}}=\frac{I \otimes \Pi_{j}^{B} \rho^{A B} I \otimes \Pi_{j}^{B}}{p_{j}^{B}}$
From the conditional state
Relative entropy vs $\Pi^{B}: \boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}^{\mathcal{J}}\left(A \mid \Pi^{B}\right)=\sum_{j} p_{j}^{B} \boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}\left(\rho^{A \mid \Pi_{j}^{B}}\right)$
Relative entropy vs $B: \boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}^{\mathcal{J}}(A \mid B)=\min _{\left\{\Pi^{B}\right\}} \boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}^{\mathcal{J}}\left(A \mid \Pi^{B}\right)$

## From the chain rule

Relative entropy: $\boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}^{\mathcal{T}}(A \mid B)=\boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}(A, B)-\boldsymbol{H}_{(h, \phi)}(B)$

## PROGRAMA

## Motivations \& Goals

## Classical ( $h, \phi$ )-Entropies

- Definition
- Properties

3 Quantum $(h, \phi)$-Entropies

- Definition
- Basic properties


## 4 Composite quantum systems

- Bipartite systems - (sub)additivity, pure state
- ( $h, \phi$ )-entropy and entanglement
(5) Relative $(h, \phi)$-Entropies
- Classical context
- Quantum context
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- These extensions are based on two entropic functionals $\phi \& h$, and encompass various famous entropies such that the von Neuman's, Tsallis's, Rényi's (thanks to $h$ ), unified, trace entropies or not.
- We proposed possibles associated measures such that relative entropies and mutual informations; a unified point of view is still missing; there properties remain to be investigated.
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## SUMMARY

- We studied various properties shared by the whole family; the main ones rely on the notion of majorization.
- In particular, the Schur-concavity appears to be crucial in the quantum context.
- We studied the effect of quantum operations (unitary transform, measures) on these entropies.
- We studied their properties for composite systems: they allow to propose entanglement detection criteria.
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