

# Fuzzy Representation of Quantum Fredkin Gate

**Ranjith Venkatrama**<sup>1</sup>

in collaboration with

Giuseppe Sergioli<sup>1</sup>   Hector Freytes<sup>1</sup>   Roberto Leporini<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Cagliari, Italy.

<sup>2</sup>University of Bergamo, Italy.

Friday 4<sup>th</sup> November, 2016  
AMQI'2016, University of Cagliari, Italy

# Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
  - Quantum Computation
  - Logical Gates for Universal Computation
  - Tofolli and Fredkin Gates
  - Conservative Logics and Thermoeconomics
- 2 Fuzzy Representation for Quantum Gates
  - Quantum Operations upon Density-Matrices
  - Quantum Gates with Łukasiewicz Fuzzy Logic
  - Fuzzy Quantum Toffoli Gate
  - Fuzzy Quantum Fredkin Gate
- 3 References, Acknowledgements and Further

## Quantum Computation : Notions and Notations

- In Quantum Computation, information is encoded into and processed by means of quantum systems.
- A *Qubit* is a quantum-bit of information. It corresponds to a pure quantum state representable by a ray-vector of the 2d Hilbert space  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .
- The *standard orthonormal basis*  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$  of the 2-d Hilbert Space ( $\mathbb{C}^2$ ) is generally taken as the *quantum computational basis*.
- The projection of a Qubit state vector on to  $|1\rangle$  is taken to be related to the *logical truth value* of the corresponding Qubit, and  $|0\rangle$  to the *logical falsity*.

## ... Notations

To stress that an operator  $A$  is defined on a Hilbert space of the form  $H^{(n)} \in \otimes^{2^n} \mathbb{C}^2$ , we denote it as  $A^{(n)}$ .

A quantum state vector  $|x_1\rangle \otimes |x_2\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes |x_n\rangle \equiv |x_1, \dots, x_n\rangle$  is taken to be a Q-register encoding

- the logical TRUENESS with a probability  $\langle x_n|1\rangle$ , and
- the logical FALSITY with a probability  $\langle x_n|0\rangle$ .

# Logical Gates for Universal Computation

## Gates of Classical Computation

- A Logical Gate is a circuit-element that performs on its input states an elementary logical operation like NOT, AND, OR, XOR etc.
- Universal Gates: One Gate to emulate them all. . .  
*e.g.*, NAND, Toffoli, Fredkin.
- Logical reversibility: one-to-one relation between input and output. E.g., Fredkin, Toffoli etc

# Logical Gates for Universal Computation

## Gates of Quantum Computation

- Representable as unitary operators upon Hilbert spaces.
- Possible to construct infinitely many quantum gates.
- Quantum Universality?  
One finite set of Quantum gates to approximately mimic any possible Quantum gate. E.g., Tofolli, Fredkin ...
- Quantum Gates, represented as unitary operators, acting on pure state vectors, are therefore reversible -by construction.

## 3-bit Gates with Reversible Logic

### Toffoli Gate

- It implements a Controlled-Controlled-Not operation:  
 $T(x, y, z) = (x, y, xy \hat{+} z)$ , where,  $\hat{+}$  is addition modulo 2.
- It is logically *reversible* but not conservative: the *bit-parity* of its output is not same as that of its input - in general.

### Fredkin Gate

- It implements a Controlled-Swap operation:

$$F(x, y, z) = (x, y \hat{+} x(y \hat{+} z), z \hat{+} x(y \hat{+} z))$$

- It is *logically reversible*, *conserves parity* as well.

## The Conservative Logic

- The number of 1's present in the output of the gate is the same as the number of 1's as was in its input.
- In other words, the *parity of bits* remains unchanged during the operation of logically-conservative gates like the Fredkin Gate.
- E.g., if the bits are to be encoded by the spin-half systems, the logical conservativity of a gate implies that the number of spin-up (or, equivalently the spin-down) states would remain unchanged during the operational cycles of that gate.



## Reversibility, Conservativity and Thermo-economy

- Landauer type of heat generation in physical systems: *1 bit of information lost irreversibly would irrefutably amount to a heat generation of  $KT \ln 2$  - at the least.*
- Logical Reversibility: If inputs of a logical gates are recoverable by using its outputs. i.e., one-one correspondence between output and input.
- If a gate-module in a given circuit is logically irreversible, then, it must be the case that some information about the input states is lost from the gate-module in question.
- This mysterious part of information may either be irreversibly lost –resulting in heat-dissipation, or
- be just *hidden away* (in a *deterministically retrievable* manner) in some other module of the physical circuit, –in which case it may not be resulting in a heat generation, but perhaps costing a memory-resource overhead.

- In general, *logical reversibility* does not automatically guarantee *thermodynamic reversibility*.
- E.g., in atomic / quantum optical systems, say in two-state systems (i.e., qubit) if, during an operation, the ground-state  $|1\rangle$  could be flipped to the excited state  $|0\rangle$ , an additional re-pumping of populations would be required to maintain the excited state – to counter the dissipations due to spontaneous emission.
- With parity conservation between the input and output of a gate – in addition to the logical reversibility, however, there could be more room for a circumvention of Landauer type of heat generation in physical implementations.
- It is possible to deduce the amount of information lost during a gate operation using concepts of information-entropy.

## Quantum Operations upon Density of States

- It is hard to find /prepare perfectly pure quantum states, due to a variety of reasons such as the limitations in preparation procedures, the *decoherence* due to interactions with environment, etc.

## Quantum Operations upon Density of States

- It is hard to find /prepare perfectly pure quantum states, due to a variety of reasons such as the limitations in preparation procedures, the *decoherence* due to interactions with environment, etc.
- Density matrices are better choice to represent quantum states.

## Quantum Operations upon Density of States

- It is hard to find /prepare perfectly pure quantum states, due to a variety of reasons such as the limitations in preparation procedures, the *decoherence* due to interactions with environment, etc.
- Density matrices are better choice to represent quantum states.
- Not all quantum processes are representable as Unitary operators; exceptions include *quantum measurements*. They are better modeled as *quantum operations* using operator-sums due to Kraus.

## Density Matrices for Quantum Computation

- Corresponding to the quantum computational basis vectors  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , we associate the density operators:

$$P_0 = |0\rangle\langle 0| \quad \text{and} \quad P_1 = |1\rangle\langle 1| .$$

- Generalizing to encodings in higher dimensions, the  $n$ -qubit-basis density operators in  $\otimes^n \mathbb{C}^2$  are given by

$$P_i^{(n)} \equiv (\otimes^{2^{(n-1)}} I) \otimes P_i ,$$

where  $i = \{0, 1\}$ , and  $I$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix.

- The logical truth and false probabilities are then obtainable via the Born rule:

$$p(\rho) = \text{tr} \left[ P_1^{(2^n)} \rho \right] .$$

# Kraus-Representation of the Quantum Operations

## Kraus-Representation

- A *quantum operation*  $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{L}(H_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H_2)$  is a linear operator taking density matrices in  $H_1$  to density matrices in  $H_2$ .
- It is representable as  $\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sum_i A_i \rho A_i^\dagger$ , with the operators  $A_i$  satisfying  $\sum_i A_i^\dagger A_i = I$ .
- Each unitary operator  $U$  gives rise to a quantum operation  $\mathcal{O}_U$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_U(\rho) = U \rho U^\dagger$  for any density operator  $\rho$ .

This model of *quantum operations* acting on *density operators* is referred to as *quantum computation with mixed states*.

## Quantum Gates with Łukasiewicz Fuzzy Logic

- A fuzzy-logical representation of Quantum-Toffoli gate was explored previously by *Giuseppe Sergioli et.al.*, using a probabilistic representation based on



## Quantum Gates with Łukasiewicz Fuzzy Logic

- A fuzzy-logical representation of Quantum-Toffoli gate was explored previously by *Giuseppe Sergioli et.al.*, using a probabilistic representation based on
  - Łukasiewicz negation:  $\neg x = 1 - x$ ,

## Quantum Gates with Łukasiewicz Fuzzy Logic

- A fuzzy-logical representation of Quantum-Toffoli gate was explored previously by *Giuseppe Sergioli et.al.*, using a probabilistic representation based on
  - Łukasiewicz negation:  $\neg x = 1 - x$ ,
  - Łukasiewicz sum:  $x \oplus y = \min\{x + y, 1\}$ ,

## Quantum Gates with Łukasiewicz Fuzzy Logic

- A fuzzy-logical representation of Quantum-Toffoli gate was explored previously by *Giuseppe Sergioli et.al.*, using a probabilistic representation based on
  - Łukasiewicz negation:  $\neg x = 1 - x$ ,
  - Łukasiewicz sum:  $x \oplus y = \min\{x + y, 1\}$ ,
  - Product t-norms:  $x \cdot y$ .

## Quantum Gates with Łukasiewicz Fuzzy Logic

- A fuzzy-logical representation of Quantum-Toffoli gate was explored previously by *Giuseppe Sergioli et.al.*, using a probabilistic representation based on
  - Łukasiewicz negation:  $\neg x = 1 - x$ ,
  - Łukasiewicz sum:  $x \oplus y = \min\{x + y, 1\}$ ,
  - Product t-norms:  $x \cdot y$ .
- This leads towards the continuous t-norms, *i.e.*, continuous binary operations on the interval  $[0, 1]$  that are commutative, associative and non-decreasing with 1 as the unit element. They are thought as flavors of *conjunction* in fuzzy logic.

## Quantum Gates with Łukasiewicz Fuzzy Logic

- A fuzzy-logical representation of Quantum-Toffoli gate was explored previously by *Giuseppe Sergioli et.al.*, using a probabilistic representation based on
  - Łukasiewicz negation:  $\neg x = 1 - x$ ,
  - Łukasiewicz sum:  $x \oplus y = \min\{x + y, 1\}$ ,
  - Product t-norms:  $x \cdot y$ .
- This leads towards the continuous t-norms, *i.e.*, continuous binary operations on the interval  $[0, 1]$  that are commutative, associative and non-decreasing with 1 as the unit element. They are thought as flavors of *conjunction* in fuzzy logic.
- A set of operations  $\langle \oplus, \cdot, \neg \rangle$  over the interval  $[0, 1]$ , as in above, forms an algebraic structure called *Product Multi-Valued Algebra* (PMV-algebra).

## Quantum Gates with Łukasiewicz Fuzzy Logic

- A fuzzy-logical representation of Quantum-Toffoli gate was explored previously by *Giuseppe Sergioli et.al.*, using a probabilistic representation based on
  - Łukasiewicz negation:  $\neg x = 1 - x$ ,
  - Łukasiewicz sum:  $x \oplus y = \min\{x + y, 1\}$ ,
  - Product t-norms:  $x \cdot y$ .
- This leads towards the continuous t-norms, *i.e.*, continuous binary operations on the interval  $[0, 1]$  that are commutative, associative and non-decreasing with 1 as the unit element. They are thought as flavors of *conjunction* in fuzzy logic.
- A set of operations  $\langle \oplus, \cdot, \neg \rangle$  over the interval  $[0, 1]$ , as in above, forms an algebraic structure called *Product Multi-Valued Algebra* (PMV-algebra).
- In the present work, we extend this analysis towards Fredkin Gate, especially for its bit-parity conservation property.

## Fuzzy Quantum Toffoli Gate : Definition

For any natural numbers  $n, m, l \geq 1$  and for any vectors of the standard orthonormal basis  $|x\rangle = |x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\rangle \in \otimes^n \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $|y\rangle = |y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\rangle \in \otimes^m \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $|z\rangle = |z_1, z_2, \dots, z_l\rangle \in \otimes^l \mathbb{C}^2$ , the quantum Toffoli gate  $T^{(n,m,l)}$  on  $\otimes^{n+m+l} \mathbb{C}^2$  is defined to satisfy

$$T^{(m,n,l)}(|x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle \otimes |z\rangle) = |x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle |z_1, \dots, z_{l-1}\rangle \otimes |x_m y_n \hat{\vdash} z_l\rangle.$$

## Fuzzy Quantum Toffoli Gate : Definition

### Quantum Toffoli Gate: Matrix Form

For any natural number  $n, m, l \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(m,n,l)} &\equiv P_0^{(n)} \otimes P_0^{(m)} \otimes I^{(l)} + P_1^{(n)} \otimes P_1^{(m)} \otimes \text{Not}^{(l)} \\ &= I^{(n+m+l)} + P_1^{(n)} \otimes P_1^{(n)} \otimes P_1^{(m)} \otimes (\text{Not} - I)^{(l)} \\ &= I^{(n-1)} \otimes \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} I^{(m+1)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & I^{(m-1)} \otimes \text{Xor}^{(l)} \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

- Matrix form of a quantum gate is encoding dependent.
- The above form is readily seen to be Unitary, leading to the Toffoli quantum operation:  $\mathbb{T}^{(m,n,1)}(\rho) \equiv T^{(m,n,l)}\rho T^{(m,n,l)}$ .



## Quantum Toffoli Gate : Fuzzy properties

- The truth-probability of Quantum Toffoli Operation  $(p(\mathbb{T}^{(m,n,l)}(\rho_n \otimes \rho_m \otimes \rho_l)))$  turns out to be

$$(1 - p(\rho_l))p(\rho_n)p(\rho_m) + p(\rho_l)(1 - p(\rho_m)p(\rho_n)) .$$

## Quantum Toffoli Gate : Fuzzy properties

- The truth-probability of Quantum Toffoli Operation  $(p(\mathbb{T}^{(m,n,l)}(\rho_n \otimes \rho_m \otimes \rho_l)))$  turns out to be

$$(1 - p(\rho_l))p(\rho_n)p(\rho_m) + p(\rho_l)(1 - p(\rho_m)p(\rho_n)) .$$

- The Quantum Tofolli Operation then has a representation in terms of  $\langle \oplus, \cdot, \neg \rangle_3$  given by

$$\mathbb{T}^{(m,n,l)}(x, y, z) = \neg z \cdot x \cdot y \oplus z \cdot \neg(x \cdot y) .$$

## Quantum Toffoli Gate : Fuzzy properties

- The truth-probability of Quantum Toffoli Operation  $(p(\mathbb{T}^{(m,n,l)}(\rho_n \otimes \rho_m \otimes \rho_l)))$  turns out to be

$$(1 - p(\rho_l))p(\rho_n)p(\rho_m) + p(\rho_l)(1 - p(\rho_m)p(\rho_n)) .$$

- The Quantum Tofolli Operation then has a representation in terms of  $\langle \oplus, \cdot, \neg \rangle_3$  given by

$$\mathbb{T}^{(m,n,l)}(x, y, z) = \neg z \cdot x \cdot y \oplus z \cdot \neg(x \cdot y) .$$

- Implements *Holistic Conjunction* when we set  $p(\rho_l) = 0$ , i.e., when  $\rho_l = P_0^{(l)}$ .

## Quantum Toffoli Gate : Fuzzy properties

- The truth-probability of Quantum Toffoli Operation  $(p(\mathbb{T}^{(m,n,l)}(\rho_n \otimes \rho_m \otimes \rho_l)))$  turns out to be

$$(1 - p(\rho_l))p(\rho_n)p(\rho_m) + p(\rho_l)(1 - p(\rho_m)p(\rho_n)) .$$

- The Quantum Tofolli Operation then has a representation in terms of  $\langle \oplus, \cdot, \neg \rangle_3$  given by

$$\mathbb{T}^{(m,n,l)}(x, y, z) = \neg z \cdot x \cdot y \oplus z \cdot \neg(x \cdot y) .$$

- Implements *Holistic Conjunction* when we set  $p(\rho_l) = 0$ , i.e., when  $\rho_l = P_0^{(l)}$ .
- At the opposite sides of spectrum are the probabilities for the implementation of AND and NAND.

## Quantum Toffoli Gate : Fuzzy properties

- The truth-probability of Quantum Toffoli Operation ( $p(\mathbb{T}^{(m,n,l)}(\rho_n \otimes \rho_m \otimes \rho_l))$ ) turns out to be

$$(1 - p(\rho_l))p(\rho_n)p(\rho_m) + p(\rho_l)(1 - p(\rho_m)p(\rho_n)) .$$

- The Quantum Tofolli Operation then has a representation in terms of  $\langle \oplus, \cdot, \neg \rangle_3$  given by

$$\mathbb{T}^{(m,n,l)}(x, y, z) = \neg z \cdot x \cdot y \oplus z \cdot \neg(x \cdot y) .$$

- Implements *Holistic Conjunction* when we set  $p(\rho_l) = 0$ , i.e., when  $\rho_l = P_0^{(l)}$ .
- At the opposite sides of spectrum are the probabilities for the implementation of AND and NAND.
- The probability expression picks up a *fuzzy-component* if the input states are non separable.

## Fuzzy quantum Fredkin Gate : Definitions

For any natural numbers  $n, m, l \geq 1$  and for any vectors of the standard orthonormal basis  $|x\rangle = |x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\rangle \in \otimes^n \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $|y\rangle = |y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\rangle \in \otimes^m \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $|z\rangle = |z_1, z_2, \dots, z_l\rangle \in \otimes^l \mathbb{C}^2$ , the Fredkin quantum gate  $F^{(n,m,l)}$  on  $\otimes^{n+m+l} \mathbb{C}^2$  is defined to satisfy

$$F^{(n,m,l)}|x, y, z\rangle \\
= |x\rangle|y_1 \dots y_{m-1}, y_m \hat{\vdash} x_n(y_m \hat{\vdash} z_l)\rangle|z_1 \dots z_{l-1}, z_l \hat{\vdash} x_n(y_m \hat{\vdash} z_l)\rangle.$$

## Fuzzy Quantum Fredkin Gate : Definitions

### Quantum Fredkin Gate: Matrix Form

For any natural number  $n, m, l \geq 1$ , the generalized Fredkin Gate has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 F^{(m,n,l)} &= P_0^{(n)} \otimes I^{(m+l)} + P_1^{(n)} \otimes SWAP^{(m,l)} \\
 &= I^{(n+m+l)} + P_1^{(n)} \otimes (SWAP^{(m,l)} - I^{(m+l)}) \\
 &= I^{(n-1)} \otimes \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} I^{(m+l)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & SWAP^{(m,l)} \end{array} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $SWAP^{(m,l)}$  is a linear operator that is essentially a last-qubit swap gate, such that,

$$SWAP^{(m,l)} |y_1, \dots, y_m, z_1, \dots, z_l\rangle = |y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}, z_l, z_1, \dots, z_{l-1}, y_m\rangle$$

## Fuzzy Quantum Fredkin Gate : Definitions

The general matrix form (in computational basis) of the required  $SWAP^{(m,l)}$  is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} SWAP^{(m,l)} &= I^{(m-1)} \otimes \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{P}_0^{(1)} & \mathbf{L}_1^{(1)} \\ \hline \mathbf{L}_0^{(1)} & \mathbf{P}_1^{(1)} \end{array} \right] \\ &= I^{(m-1)} \otimes SWAP^{(1,l)} \\ &= \text{diag}^{2^{(m-1)}} \left[ SWAP^{(1,l)} \right] . \end{aligned}$$

Here, the operators  $L_1$  and  $L_0$  are the *bit-flip* operators with  $L_1 \equiv |1\rangle\langle 0|$  (*i.e.*, the Ladder-raising operator) and  $L_0 \equiv |0\rangle\langle 1|$  (*i.e.*, the Ladder-lowering operator), trivially extended to the higher dimensions as  $\mathbf{L}_1^{(1)} = I^{(l-1)} \otimes L_1$  and  $\mathbf{L}_0^{(1)} = I^{(l-1)} \otimes L_0$ .



## Quantum Fredkin Gate : Fuzzy properties

- The truth-probability of the generalized Quantum Fredkin Operation ( $p(\mathbb{F}^{(m,n,l)}(\rho_n \otimes \rho_m \otimes \rho_l))$ ) turns out to be

$$\mathbb{F}_p^{(n,m,l)} \rho = (1 - p(\rho_n)) p(\rho_l) + p(\rho_n) p(\rho_m)$$

## Quantum Fredkin Gate : Fuzzy properties

- The truth-probability of the generalized Quantum Fredkin Operation ( $p(\mathbb{F}^{(m,n,l)}(\rho_n \otimes \rho_m \otimes \rho_l))$ ) turns out to be

$$\mathbb{F}_p^{(n,m,l)} \rho = (1 - p(\rho_n)) p(\rho_l) + p(\rho_n) p(\rho_m)$$

- Since  $0 \leq p(\mathbb{F}^{(n,m,l)}(\rho_n \otimes \rho_m \otimes \rho_l)) \leq 1$ , the above sum is a Łukasiewicz sum. And therefore, it can be rewritten as

$$p(\mathbb{F}^{(n,m,l)}(\rho_n \otimes \rho_m \otimes \rho_l)) = \neg p(\rho_n) \cdot p(\rho_l) \oplus p(\rho_n) \cdot p(\rho_m).$$

## Quantum Fredkin Gate : Fuzzy properties

- The truth-probability of the generalized Quantum Fredkin Operation  $(p(\mathbb{F}^{(m,n,l)}(\rho_n \otimes \rho_m \otimes \rho_l)))$  turns out to be

$$\mathbb{F}_p^{(n,m,l)} \rho = (1 - p(\rho_n)) p(\rho_l) + p(\rho_n) p(\rho_m)$$

- Since  $0 \leq p(\mathbb{F}^{(n,m,l)}(\rho_n \otimes \rho_m \otimes \rho_l)) \leq 1$ , the above sum is a Łukasiewicz sum. And therefore, it can be rewritten as

$$p(\mathbb{F}^{(n,m,l)}(\rho_n \otimes \rho_m \otimes \rho_l)) = \neg p(\rho_n) \cdot p(\rho_l) \oplus p(\rho_n) \cdot p(\rho_m).$$

- Therefore,  $\mathbb{F}^{(m,n,l)}$  is  $\langle \oplus, \cdot, \neg \rangle_3$ -representable by  $\neg \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{z} \oplus \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ .

## Quantum Fredkin Gate : Fuzzy properties

- The truth-probability of the generalized Quantum Fredkin Operation ( $p(\mathbb{F}^{(m,n,l)}(\rho_n \otimes \rho_m \otimes \rho_l))$ ) turns out to be

$$\mathbb{F}_p^{(n,m,l)} \rho = (1 - p(\rho_n)) p(\rho_l) + p(\rho_n) p(\rho_m)$$

- Since  $0 \leq p(\mathbb{F}^{(n,m,l)}(\rho_n \otimes \rho_m \otimes \rho_l)) \leq 1$ , the above sum is a Łukasiewicz sum. And therefore, it can be rewritten as

$$p(\mathbb{F}^{(n,m,l)}(\rho_n \otimes \rho_m \otimes \rho_l)) = \neg p(\rho_n) \cdot p(\rho_l) \oplus p(\rho_n) \cdot p(\rho_m).$$

- Therefore,  $\mathbb{F}^{(m,n,l)}$  is  $\langle \oplus, \cdot, \neg \rangle_3$ -representable by  $\neg \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{z} \oplus \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ .
- The Conjunction derivable here is the same as the Holistic Conjunction derived previously using Tofolli gate.

## Directions Further


- The incidence of non-separability in generalized quantum Fredkin gate and that of entanglement . . .
- Benchmarking the robustness and thermo-economicality of fuzzy-quantum-circuits, perhaps for some important family of quantum states.
- Forms of fuzzy-quantum gates for different types of encoding, OR,
- the possibility of Encoding-independent representation of Quantum Gates
- Building further implementation-friendly fuzzy-quantum circuits.
- Building Fuzzy logic based quantum games.

# Acknowledgements

Thanks to Dr Giuseppe Sergioli and Prof Roberto Giuntini for introducing the problem to me.  
Special thanks to Prof Roberto Leporini for helpful discussions.

# Thank You All

## References

-  M.L Dalla Chiara, R. Giuntini, R. Leporini, G. Sergioli, *Holistic logical arguments in quantum computation*, *Mathematica Slovaca*, to appear.
-  M.L Dalla Chiara, R. Leporini, G. Sergioli, *Entanglement and quantum logical gates. Part II*, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, Vol. 54, n.12, pp. 4530–4545 (2015).
-  H. Freytes, R. Giuntini, R. Leporini, G. Sergioli, *Entanglement and quantum logical gates. Part I*, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, Vol. 54, n.12, pp. 4518–4529 (2015).
-  H. Freytes, G. Sergioli, *Fuzzy approach for Toffoli gate in quantum computation with mixed states*, *Reports on Mathematical Physics*, Vol. 74, Issue 2, pp. 159–180 (2014).
-  G. Cattaneo, A. Leporati, R. Leporini *Fredkin Gates for*