Integr. equ. oper. theory 59 (2007), 591–596 ( $\odot$  2007 Birkhäuser Verlag Basel/Switzerland 0378-620X/040591-6, *published online* October 18, 2007 DOI 10.1007/s00020-007-1535-8

Integral Equations and Operator Theory

# **Nonautonomous Exponential Dichotomy**

Cornelis van der Mee

**Abstract.** In this note we generalize the strongly continuous bisemigroups generated by exponentially dichotomous operators to so-called bievolution families. These families are then related to strongly continuous bisemigroups on certain Banach spaces of continuous and measurable vector-valued functions.

Keywords. Bisemigroup, dichotomous operator, bievolution family.

## 1. Introduction

In recent years exponentially dichotomous operators  $S(X \to X)$  defined on a dense linear subspace of a complex Banach space X have been studied extensively [1, 7, 10, 12]. They can be defined through the Laplace transform relation

$$(\lambda - S)^{-1}x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} E(t; x) \, dt,$$

where, for each  $x \in X$ ,  $E(\cdot; x) : \mathbb{R} \to X$  is strongly measurable and satisfies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\varepsilon |t|} \|E(t;x)\|_X \, dt \le \text{const.} \|x\|_X, \qquad x \in X,$$

for some constant  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a strongly continuous function  $E : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{L}(X)$ , the so-called bisemigroup, having its values in the complex Banach algebra  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  of bounded linear operators on X and having a strong jump discontinuity at t = 0 such that E(t)x = E(t; x) for  $0 \neq t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Also  $E(0^+) - E(0^-) = I_X$ , the identity operator on X. Further,  $\pm E(0^{\pm})$  are complementary projections reducing S.

Exponentially bounded evolution families have been defined as strongly continuous operator functions  $U : \{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : t \ge s\} \to \mathcal{L}(X)$  having the properties (i) U(t,r)U(r,s) = U(t,s) for  $t \ge r \ge s$ , and (ii)  $\|U(t,s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \le Me^{\varepsilon(t-s)}$  for

The research leading to this note was supported by the Italian Ministry of Education and Research (MIUR) under PRIN grant no. 2006017542-003, and by INdAM-GNCS.

van der Mee

certain constants  $M, \varepsilon > 0$ . They are the natural generalizations of strongly continuous semigroups when modeling nonautonomous first order initial value problems (cf. [2] and references therein). In the context of [4, 3, 2] exponential dichotomy pertains to the existence of a projection-valued function  $P : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{L}(X)$  such that (i) U(t,s)P(s) = P(t)U(t,s) for  $t \geq s$ , (ii) there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $||U(t,s)P(s)x||_X \leq \text{const.}e^{\varepsilon(t-s)}||P(s)x||_X$  for  $t \geq s$ , and (iii) the restriction of U(t,s) to the kernel of P(s) is a boundedly invertible operator defined on the kernel of P(t) with norm bounded above by  $\text{const.}e^{-\varepsilon(t-s)}$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Exponential dichotomy of exponentially bounded evolution families can be proven equivalent to the hyperbolicity of the strongly continuous semigroup  $E : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{R}; X))$ defined by  $[E(t)f](\tau) = U(\tau, \tau - t)f(\tau - t)$  for  $t \geq 0$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  (cf. [8]).

In this note we generalize the exponentially dichotomous operators as studied in [1, 12] to so-called bievolution families, mimicking the terminology of bisemigroups introduced in [1]. In Theorem 2.2 we prove that U is a bievolution family on X if and only E defined by  $[E(t)f](\tau) = U(\tau, \tau - t)f(\tau - t)$  is a strongly continuous bisemigroup on  $C_0(\mathbb{R}; X)$ . In Proposition 2.1 we also show that  $E_U$  is a strongly continuous bisemigroup on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$   $(1 \le p < \infty)$  if U is a bievolution family.

Exponentially dichotomous operators have among their applications Riccati equations [10], transport equations [5], functional differential equations [9], and noncausal linear systems [6]. Some of these applications have nonautonomous counterparts conductive to treatment as bievolution systems, such as nonautonomous functional differential equations [9] and evolution equations in Banach spaces [11].

Let us introduce some notations. Given a complex Banach space X, we write  $I_X$  for the identity operator on X,  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  for the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on X,  $C_0(\mathbb{R}; X)$  for the Banach space of strongly continuous functions  $f: \mathbb{R} \to X$  such that  $||f(t)||_X \to 0$  as  $t \to \pm \infty$ . For  $1 \leq p < \infty$  we mean by  $L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$  the Banach space of strongly measurable functions  $f: \mathbb{R} \to X$  for which the scalar function  $||f(\cdot)||_X \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ .

# 2. Bievolution Families and Main Theorem

Letting  $\Delta_{\pm} = \{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \pm (t-s) \geq 0\}$ , the disjoint (set theoretical and topological) union  $\Delta = \Delta_+ \cup \Delta_-$  represents the Euclidean plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , where we distinguish between  $(t,t^-) \in \Delta_+$  and  $(t,t^+) \in \Delta_-$ . Letting X be a complex Banach space, by a *bievolution family* on X we mean a strongly continuous operator function  $U : \Delta \to \mathcal{L}(X)$  having the following properties:

1. For (t, r) and (r, s) in  $\Delta_{\pm}$  we have the product rule

$$U(t,r)U(r,s) = \pm U(t,s).$$

2. For  $(t,\tau) \in \Delta_+$  and  $(s,\sigma) \in \Delta_-$  we have

$$U(t,\tau)U(s,\sigma) = U(s,\sigma)U(t,\tau) = 0.$$

Vol. 59 (2007)

3. There exist positive constants M and  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\|U(t,s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \le M e^{-\varepsilon|t-s|}, \qquad (t,s) \in \Delta_{\pm}.$$

4. We have

$$U(t^+, t) - U(t^-, t) = I_X, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then  $U(t,t^{-})$  and  $-U(t,t^{+})$  for  $(t,t^{\mp}) \in \Delta_{\pm}$  are bounded complementary projections on X which are strongly continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

When U(t, s) only depends on  $(t - s) \in \Delta$  and hence we may write E(t - s) = U(t, s) while distinguishing between  $E(0^+)$  and  $E(0^-)$ , we obtain a (strongly continuous) bisemigroup on X. The separating projection then no longer depends on t and is called the separating projection of the bisemigroup. For convenience we write  $\dot{\mathbb{R}}$  for the disjoint (set theoretical and topological) union of  $\dot{\mathbb{R}}_- = (-\infty, 0]$  and  $\dot{\mathbb{R}}_+ = [0, \infty)$ , so that E can be viewed as a strongly continuous operator function  $E : \dot{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathcal{L}(X)$ .

Given a bievolution family  $U : \Delta \to X$ , we define the *evolutionary bisemi*group  $E_U : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{R}; X))$   $(1 \le p < \infty)$  or  $E_U : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{L}(C_0(\mathbb{R}; X))$  by

$$(E_U(t)f)(\tau) = U(\tau, \tau - t)f(\tau - t), \qquad (\tau, \tau - t) \in \Delta.$$

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and let  $U : \Delta \to \mathcal{L}(X)$  be a bievolution family. Then  $E_U : \mathbb{R} \to L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$  is a strongly continuous bisemigroup.

*Proof.* Let  $U : \Delta \to \mathcal{L}(X)$  be a bievolution family. For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_U(t)f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R};X)} &= \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|(E_U(t)f)(\tau)\|^p \, d\tau\right]^{1/p} \\ &= \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|U(\tau,\tau-t)f(\tau-t)\|^p \, d\tau\right]^{1/p} \le M e^{-\varepsilon|t|} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R};X)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the boundedness of  $E_U(t)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  as well as the exponential bound on its norm. Further, for  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  of the same sign we estimate

$$\begin{split} \|E_{U}(t)f - E_{U}(s)f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R};X)}^{p} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|U(\tau,\tau-t)f(\tau-t) - U(\tau,\tau-s)f(\tau-s)\|_{X}^{p} d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|[U(\tau+t,\tau) - U(\tau+t,\tau+t-s)]f(\tau)\|_{X}^{p} d\tau \\ &+ Me^{-\varepsilon|s|} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f(\tau) - f(\tau+t-s)\|_{X}^{p} d\tau, \end{split}$$

which vanishes as  $s \to t$  as a result of the strong continuity of U.

van der Mee

For  $t, s \in \dot{\mathbb{R}}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (E_U(t)E_U(s)f)(\tau) &= U(\tau,\tau-t)(E_U(s)f)(\tau-t) \\ &= U(\tau,\tau-t)U(\tau-t,\tau-t-s)f(\tau-t-s) \\ &= \begin{cases} U(\tau,\tau-t-s)f(\tau-t-s) = (E_U(t+s)f)(\tau), & t,s \ge 0 \\ -U(\tau,\tau-t-s)f(\tau-t-s) = -(E_U(t+s)f)(\tau), & t,s \le 0 \\ 0, & ts < 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which implies the product rule. Next,

$$(E_U(0^+)f)(\tau) - (E_U(0^-)f)(\tau) = U(\tau,\tau^-)f(\tau) - U(\tau,\tau^+)f(\tau) = f(\tau),$$

so that  $E_U(0^+) - E_U(0^-) = I_{L^p(\mathbb{R};X)}$ . Thus, if  $U : \Delta \to \mathcal{L}(X)$  is a bievolution family on X, then  $E_U$  is a strongly continuous bisemigroup on  $L^p(\mathbb{R};X)$ .  $\Box$ 

We now derive the main result of this note.

**Theorem 2.2.** The operator function  $U : \Delta \to \mathcal{L}(X)$  is a bievolution family iff  $E_U : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{L}(X)$  is a strongly continuous bisemigroup on  $C_0(\mathbb{R}; X)$ .

*Proof.* For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\|E_U(t)f\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R};X)} \le \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \|U(\tau, \tau - t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|f\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R};X)} \le M e^{-\varepsilon|t|} \|f\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R};X)},$$

which yields the boundedness of  $E_U(t)$  and the exponential bound on its norm. For  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  of the same sign we estimate

$$\begin{split} \|E_U(t)f - E_U(s)f\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R};X)} \\ &= \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \|U(\tau, \tau - t)f(\tau - t) - U(\tau, \tau - s)f(\tau - s)\|_X \\ &\leq \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \|[U(\tau + t, \tau) - U(\tau + t, \tau + t - s)]f(\tau)\|_X \\ &+ Me^{-\varepsilon |s|} \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(\tau) - f(\tau + t - s)\|_X, \end{split}$$

proving the strong continuity of  $E_U : \mathbb{R} \to C_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(X))$ . Thus, if  $U : \Delta \to \mathcal{L}(X)$  is a bievolution family on X, then  $E_U$  is a strongly continuous bisemigroup on  $C_0(\mathbb{R}; X)$ .

Conversely, let  $E_U : \mathbb{R} \to C_0(\mathbb{R}; X)$  be a strongly continuous bisemigroup. For  $x \in X$  and  $\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  we define  $F_{(\phi,x)} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}; X)$  by

$$[F_{(\phi,x)}](t) = \phi(t)x, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then taking a function  $\phi$  without zeros we see from the identity

$$U(\tau, \tau - t)x = \frac{[E(t)F_{(\phi,x)}](\tau)}{\phi(\tau - t)}$$

594

IEOT

Vol. 59 (2007)

that  $U: \Delta \to \mathcal{L}(X)$  is strongly continuous. For  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  we have on the one hand

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_U(t)E_U(s)F_{(\phi,x)} \end{bmatrix}(\tau) = U(\tau,\tau-t) \begin{bmatrix} E_U(s)F_{(\phi,x)} \end{bmatrix}(\tau-t)$$
$$= U(\tau,\tau-t)U(\tau-t,\tau-t-s) \begin{bmatrix} F_{(\phi,x)} \end{bmatrix}(\tau-t-s)$$
$$= \phi(\tau-t-s)U(\tau,\tau-t)U(\tau-t,\tau-t-s)x$$

and on the other hand

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_U(t+s)F_{(\phi,x)} \end{bmatrix}(\tau) = U(\tau,\tau-t-s) \begin{bmatrix} F_{(\phi,x)} \end{bmatrix}(\tau-t-s)$$
$$= \phi(\tau-t-s)U(\tau,\tau-t-s)x.$$

Taking  $\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  to be nonzero, we obtain from the product rule for  $E_U$  the product properties 1 and 2 for U. Moreover,

$$\phi(\tau)x = \left\{ E_U(0^+) - E_U(0^-) \right\} F_{(\phi,x)}(\tau) = \phi(\tau) \left\{ U(\tau,\tau^-)x - U(\tau,\tau^+)x \right\}$$

implies that  $U(\tau, \tau^{-}) - U(\tau, \tau^{+}) = I_X$ . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R})} \|U(\tau,\tau-t)x\|_X &= \|E_U(t)F_{(\phi,x)}\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R};X)} \\ &\leq M e^{-\varepsilon|t|} \|F_{(\phi,x)}\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R};X)} = M e^{-\varepsilon|t|} \|\phi\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R})} \|x\|_X \end{aligned}$$

implies the exponential decay condition 4 on U. Thus,  $U : \Delta \to \mathcal{L}(X)$  is a bievolution family.  $\Box$ 

## References

- H. Bart, I. Gohberg, and M.A. Kaashoek, Wiener-Hopf factorization, inverse Fourier transforms and exponentially dichotomous operators, J. Funct. Anal. 68, 1-42 (1986).
- [2] C. Chicone and Yu. Latushkin, Evolution Semigroups in Dynamical Systems and Differential Equations, Math. Surveys and Monographs 70, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [3] Ju.L. Daleckii and M.G. Krein, Stability of Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Space, Transl. Math. Monographs 43, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1974; also: Izdat. "Nauka," Moscow, 1970 (in Russian).
- [4] H.O. Fattorini, *The Cauchy Problem*, Encycl. Math. Appl. 18, Addison Wesley, Reading, 1983.
- [5] W. Greenberg, C.V.M. van der Mee, and V. Protopopescu, Boundary Value Problems in Abstract Kinetic Theory, Birkhäuser OT 23, Basel and Boston, 1987.
- [6] M.A. Kaashoek, C.V.M. van der Mee, and A.C.M. Ran, Wiener-Hopf factorization of transfer functions of extended Pritchard-Salamon realizations, Math. Nachrichten 196, 71–102 (1998).
- [7] M.A. Kaashoek and S.M. Verduyn Lunel, An integrability condition on the resolvent for hyperbolicity of the semigroup, J. Diff. Eqs. 112, 374–406 (1994).
- [8] Yu. Latushkin and T. Randolph, Dichotomy of differential equations on Banach spaces and an algebra of weighted translation operators, Integral Equations and Operator Theory 23, 472–500 (1995).

#### van der Mee

- J. Mallet-Paret and S.M. Verduyn Lunel, Exponential dichotomies and Wiener-Hopf factorizations for mixed-type functional differential equations, J. Diff. Eqs., to appear.
- [10] A.C.M. Ran and C. van der Mee, Perturbation results for exponentially dichotomous operators on general Banach spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 210, 193–213 (2004).
- [11] R.J. Sacker and G.R. Sell, Dichotomies for linear evolution equations in Banach spaces, J. Diff. Eqs. 113, 17–67 (1994).
- [12] C. van der Mee, *Exponentially Dichotomous Operators and Applications*, Birkhäuser, Basel and Boston, in preparation.

Cornelis van der Mee Dip. Matematica e Informatica Università di Cagliari Viale Merello 92 I-09123 Cagliari Italy e-mail: cornelis@krein.unica.it

Submitted: April 24, 2007