TRANSPORT THEORY AND STATISTICAL PHYSICS, 14(5), 669-678 (1985)

WHITHER EXISTENCE THEORY?

L. Willis and P. F. Zweifel

Center for Transport Theory and Mathematical Physics Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Blacksburg, VA 24061

C. V. M. van der Mee

Department of Mathematics Texas Tech University Lubbock, TX 79409

ABSTRACT •

It is suggested that in certain cases the Fredholm alternative theorem can be used to simplify existence proofs in time-independent linear transport theory. Existing results in abstract kinetic equations theory are generalized as to encompass polarized light transfer as well as certain inhomogeneous finite slab media.

Introduction

obscure the subject to the physicist or engineer. Another disoperators, and a number of technical arguments which tend to Zweifei can be used to prove uniqueness. The existence proof is number of authors 2,3. Here it turns out that simple positivity considerably more delicate. This situation occurs for the generalized if it exists, is unique, whereas the existence question is often exists. In many instances, it is relatively simple that a solution, inner products and Hilbert spaces with associated projection rather involved, requiring the introduction of various auxiliary arguments modeled after an idea introduced originally by Case and transport equation originally studied by Beals and generalized by a tion) is well-posed includes a demonstration that a unique solution The proof that a transport equation (or any mathematical equa-

671

WHITHER EXISTENCE THEORY?

and requires selfadjointness assumptions of the two basic operato inhomogeneous media problems and non-plane-parallel geometry, tors appearing in the abstract equation. advantage of this approach is that it seems to defy generalization

ticularly elegant statement of the alternative, which we parabe brought into action. By way of review, we recall Haimos parness. These cases are those in which the Fredholm alternative can space H into itself, and consider the operator equation phrase as follows. Let C be a compact operator from a Banach i.e., existence is already implied by the demonstration of uniquecases, at least, the separate existence proof is entirely redundant, The purpose of this article is to point out that in certain

$$f = Cf + g; f, g \in H, \tag{1}$$

alternative theorem. exists. We refer the reader to Reference 6 for a proof of the where g is known. Then if the solution to Eq. (1) is unique, it

the class of boundary value problems Fredholm alternative can be applied in a most elegant fashion is A class of generalized kinetic equations for which the above

$$(Tf)'(x) + (I-B)f(x) = g(x), x \in (0,T)$$
 (2)

$$Q_{+}f(0) = Q_{+}\phi, \ Q_{-}f(\tau) = Q_{-}\phi.$$
 (3)

(bounded or unbounded) self-adjoint and injective and B is a compact Here T and B are operators on an abstract Hilbert space H, T is selfadjoint operator satisfying

$$\exists 0 < \alpha < 1$$
: Ran B \subset Ran $|T|^{\alpha}$, (4)

this condition implies the existence of a bounded operator D such that while A = I - B is positive self-adjoint. By the closed graph theorem,

$$\mathbf{s} = |\mathbf{r}|^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{b}. \tag{5}$$

the orthogonal projections of H onto the maximal positive and nega-The operators Q_+ and Q_- appearing in the boundary conditions (3) are

Greenberg, Van der Mee and Walus (T unbounded). For these models has been discussed at great length by Van der Mee 2*10 (T bounded) and and even phonon transport (see References 3, 9 for many references) tions in neutron transport, radiative transfer, rarefied gas dynamics Reference 2, for T unbounded in Reference 10) that the operator Reference 4. Furthermore, Van der Mee proved (for T bounded in uniqueness can be proved by a generalization of the argument used in boundary value problems which model a large variety of kinetic equative T-invariant subspaces, respectively. This class of abstract

$$(L_{\tau}f)(x) = \int_{0}^{\tau} H(x-y)Bf(y)dy, \quad 0 < x < \tau(<\omega),$$
 (6)

is compact on $L_p((0,\tau);H)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$. 11 tor function" of T, i.e., the integral kernel of the operator (Here H(*) is the "propaga-

 $\left(1+T\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{-1}$.) He then goes on to prove that the boundary value problem (2) - (3) is equivalent to the vector equation

$$f = L_{\tau} f + \omega \tag{7}$$

on $L_{\infty}((0,T);H),$ where ω is a known function. 12 argument is needed. existence follows from the Fredholm alternative theorem; no further At this point,

sharp; the Fredholm alternative may well give substantially improved only a sufficient condition for existence, which is not very the operator \mathbf{L}_{τ} of Equation (7) obeys $\|\mathbf{L}_{\tau}\|$ < 1, so that the Neumann that some classical existence proofs 16 have relied on the fact that direction for existence questions in transport theory. We recall that our remarks have added a new dimension and have suggested a new be non-applicable (for example, cf. References 13, 14, 15), we hope A = I-B in Equation (2) causes the simplification suggested above to series solution to Equation (7) converges. Evidently, this gives Although for many applications the unboundedness of the operator

negative real part, ReA = $\frac{1}{2}(A+A*) \ge 0$, and satisfies two directions. First we shall assume that A = I-B has a non-In this article we shall also generalize the existing theory in

8

tion the Fredholm alternative argument still applies (although with with $\operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{ReA}_{\mathbf{x}}) = \operatorname{Ker} \operatorname{A}_{\mathbf{x}}$ almost everywhere. For this general situa-(4) for all x \in [0, \tau], while A_x = I-B has nonnegative real part compact operators on H, which satisfies the regularity condition In particular, $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}$ is a continuous function from $[\mathbf{0},\tau]$ into the generalization is to allow x-dependence of the compact operator ${\tt B}_{ au}$ negative real part was suggested by work of Beals 20 . A second proof of a recent result of Van der Mee 19. The use of A with nontion is provided by polarized light transfer 17,18 leading to a novel Beyond the models where A is positive selfadjoint, a new applicaobtained for inhomogeneous media problems. some modifications) and the uniqueness argument goes through com-As a result new existence and uniqueness results are

sary to guarantee the compactness of the operator $\boldsymbol{L}_{_{\boldsymbol{T}}}$ and therefore However, for subcritical problems (ReA strictly positive) the operamedia) breaks down and the argument does not apply in the above way problems the compactness of \boldsymbol{L}_{γ} often (and always for homogeneous the full machinery of the Fredholm alternative. For half-space Fredholm alternative argument might be sought for. tor I-L $_{_{\mathrm{T}}}$ is still a Fredholm operator and some modification of the remark that the restriction τ < ∞ in Equation (6) is neces-

depends on x. In Section 4 we apply our results to the equation of tive. In Section 3 we analyze the modifications required if B x-independent) as well as the precise form of the Fredholm alternathe uniqueness problem for the case of a homogeneous medium (ReA ≥ 0 transfer of polarized light. The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we discuss

authors (P.F.Z.) is indebted to Profs. Charles Siewert and Robert DE-ASO5 80ER10711-1 and NSF grant No. DMS 8312451. One of the Bowden for brief but illuminating discussions Acknowledgements. The work was supported in part by DOE grant No.

Homogeneous Media

have a nonnegative real part satisfying (8); let $\varphi\in\,D(T)$ and let Let T be injective selfadjoint, B compact satisfying (4), A

x \in (0,7). If is strongly differentiable on (0,7) and Equations every continuous function $f:[0,\tau]\to H$ such that $f(x)\in D(T)$ for all g(x) meet the Hölder continuity condition of Reference 12. Then $L_{\omega}((0, au);H)$, it suffices to prove that the boundary value problem in D(T), has Tf strongly differentiable on (0, τ) and satisfies f of Eq. (7) in $I_{c_0}(\{0,1\};H)$ is continuous on $[0,\tau]$, has its values where $\omega(x)$ is given in Reference 12. Conversely, every solution (2)-(3) hold true is a solution of the convolution equation (7) Equations (2)-(3) $^{\prime\prime}$, 10. Hence, in view of the compactness of $L_{\rm T}$ on

$$(Tf)'(x) + (I-B)f(x) \equiv 0, \quad 0 < x < T$$
 (9)

$$Q_{+}f(0) = Q_{-}f(T) = 0$$
 (10)

has the trivial solution f = 0 only. Indeed, given a solution f of these equations we have

$$-2((ReA)f(x),f(x)) = -(Af(x),f(x)) - (f(x),Af(x)) =$$

$$= ((\mathrm{Tf})'(x), f(x)) + (f(x), (\mathrm{Tf})'(x)) \approx \frac{d}{dx}(\mathrm{Tf}(x), f(x))$$

(see Appendix for the last equality) and, as ReA ≥ 0 ,

$$0 \ge -2 \int_{0}^{\infty} ((\text{ReA})f(x),f(x))dx = (\text{T}f(\tau),f(\tau)) - (\text{T}f(0),f(0)) \ge 0,$$

f(x)) $\equiv 0$ and thus because $f(0) = Q_f(0)$ and $f(\tau) = Q_f(\tau)$. Hence, ((ReA)f(x),

$$(ReA)f(x) \equiv 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \tau.$$

Hence, $f(x) \equiv h$ with $h \in KerA$. Finally, we have [cf. (10)] Using (8), we have $Af(x) \equiv 0$ and therefore [cf. (9)](Tf)'(x) $\equiv 0$.

$$h = Q_h + Q_h = Q_f(0) + Q_f(1) = 0,$$

Equations (2)-(3) is clear. whence f = 0. Uniqueness, and thus existence, of the solution of

compact operators on H. Suppose that for fixed $\alpha \in (0,1)$ function (with respect to the norm topology) from $\{0,\tau\}$ into the Let T, ϕ and q(x) be as before, and let x $\not\models$ B be a continuous

$$\operatorname{Ran} B_{\mathbf{x}} \subset \operatorname{Ran}[T]^{\alpha}, \quad 0 < \mathbf{x} < \tau,$$

all x \in (0, τ), Tf is strongly differentiable on (0, τ) and Equations Then every continuous function $f:[0,\tau]\to H$ such that $f(x)\in D(T)$ for suppose that ${\rm ReA}_{\bf x} \ge 0$ and ${\rm Ker\,ReA}_{\bf x} = {\rm KerA}_{\bf x}$ for almost every ${\bf x} \in [0,\tau]$. where the family of operators $\{|T|^{-0}B_{x}|x\in [0,\tau]\}$ is bounded. Also integral equation (7), where $\omega(x)$ is given in Reference 12 and (2)-(3) hold true (with B replaced by $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}})$ is a solution of the

$$(L_{\tau}f)(x) = \int_{0}^{\tau} H(x-y)B_{y}f(y)dy, \quad x \in (0,\tau).$$
(12)

 $x \mid D_{x} = |T|^{-\beta} B_{x}$ on [0,T], one easily proves L_{T} compact on has If strongly differentiable on $(0,\tau)$ and satisfies Equations $B \hspace{0.2em} \mid\hspace{0.5em} \mid \hspace{0.5em} B_{\hspace{0.5em} \times}$. Hence, under the above conditions Equations (2)-(3) (with $L_p((0,\tau);H),\ 1 \le p \le \infty$. The uniqueness proof of the previous may prove $x \models \mid T \mid^{-\beta} B$ continuous on [0,T]. Exactly repeating the (2)-(3) (with B replaced by B). Indeed, for fixed 0 < β < α one (12), in $L_{\infty}(\{0,\tau\};H)$ is continuous on $[0,\tau],$ has its values in D(T),Conversely, every solution f of Equation (7), with \boldsymbol{L}_{T} defined by B replaced by $B_{\mathbf{x}}$) are uniquely solvable. section goes through completely, with only the nominal change (T unbounded) ond considering the compact operator-valued function equivalence proof of either Reference 2 (T bounded) or Reference 10

Application to Polarized Light Transfer

parallel atmosphere of finite optical thickness T reads The equation of transfer of polarized light for a plane-

$$\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tilde{\xi}(x,\mu,\phi) + \tilde{\xi}(x,\mu,\phi) = \frac{c}{4\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \tilde{\xi}(\mu,\mu',\phi-\phi') \tilde{\xi}(x,\mu',\phi') d\phi' d\mu',$$
(13)

$$f(0,\mu,\phi) = f(0,\mu,\phi) \text{ for } \mu > 0, f(\tau,\mu,\phi) = 0 \text{ for } \mu < 0.$$
 (14)

with I the intensity, $Z_{}(\mu,\mu^{*},\varphi \! - \! \varphi^{*})$ is the phase matrix describing Here $\tilde{f}(x,\mu,\phi)$ is the four-vector of polarization parameters I,Q,U,V \mathbf{L}_2 -norm, and defining the operators single scattering, total absorption by the planetary surface is functions $\tilde{h}:[-1,1]\times[0,2\pi]$ + \mathfrak{E}^4 which are bounded with respect to the assumed and c \in (0,1]. Introducing the Hilbert space H of measurable

lems arise for x-dependent phase functions. The phase function allows the factorization $^{\mbox{\scriptsize 17}}$ an example of Equations (2)-(3) arises. Inhomogeneous media prob-

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}(\mu,\mu',\phi-\phi') = \widetilde{\mathbf{L}}(\pi-\sigma_2)\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}(\theta)\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}(-\sigma_1)$$

for suitable angles
$$\theta, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$$
 depending on μ , μ and $(\phi-\phi')$, where
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos 2\alpha & \sin 2\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin 2\alpha & \cos 2\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \tilde{F}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} a_1(\theta) & b_1(\theta) & 0 & 0 \\ b_1(\theta) & a_2(\theta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3(\theta) & b_2(\theta) \\ 0 & 0 & -b_2(\theta) & a_4(\theta) \end{bmatrix},$$

values of ReB in the set $\{\lambda \mid \text{Re}\lambda < 1\}$ if c E (0,1) and $\{\lambda \mid \text{Re}\lambda < 1\}$ U c \in (0,1), and in the set { $\lambda \mid \text{Re}\lambda < 1$ } \cup {1} if c = 1. Since ReB = on H whose eigenvalues are situated in the half plane $\{\lambda | \text{Re}\lambda < 1\}$ if of these properties it can be shown 18,21 that B is a compact operator and where $\underline{r}(\theta)$ leaves invariant the positive cone of vectors (I,Q,U,V) satisfying $\underline{r} \geq (Q^2+u^2+v^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 0$ and $\int_{-1}^{1} a_{\underline{r}}(\theta)d(\cos\theta) = 2$. On the basis $\frac{1}{2}(B+B*)$ has the form (15) with $b_2(\theta) \equiv 0$, we also have the eigen-

[1] if c = 1. Hence, ReA \geq 0 and Ker(ReA) = KerA = span{(1,0,0,0), (0,0,0,1)} if $a_1(\theta) \equiv a_4(\theta)$ and Ker(ReA) = KerA = span{(1,0,0,0)} otherwise. On assuming that

$$3r > 1; \quad \int_{-1}^{1} a_1(\theta)^r d(\cos \theta) < \infty, \tag{17}$$

we may obtain the regularity assumption (4)19. Hence, if (17) is satisfied and the scattering matrix $\tilde{\chi}(\theta)$ leaves invariant the positive cone of vectors (I,Q,U,V) with I $\geq (Q^2+U^2+V^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 0$, the transport problem (13)-(14) is uniquely solvable. Hence, we have derived in a different way a result of Van der Mee¹⁹. In order to have an application for inhomogeneous atmospheres, we have to assume that $\tilde{\chi}(\theta)$ depends on $x: \tilde{\chi}(\theta) = \tilde{\chi}(\theta;x)$. Also the functions a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1 and b_2 must satisfy the continuity assumptions

$$\forall \varepsilon; \exists \delta_c : [\int_{|c(\theta;x)-c(\theta;y)|^r}^1 d(\cos\theta)]^{1/r} < \varepsilon \text{ if } |x-y| < \delta_c$$

with x,y \in [0,T] and fixed r > 1, as well as the property that all matrices $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}(\theta;\mathbf{x})$ leave invariant the vectors (I,Q,U,V) satisfying $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{q}^2 + \mathbf{u}^2 + \mathbf{v}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 0$. Hence, the transport problem (13)-(14) with $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u}^1,\phi-\phi^1)$ replaced by $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u}^1,\phi-\phi^1;\mathbf{x})$ is uniquely solvable also.

. Concluding Remarks

In an almost trivial way we have derived existence and uniqueness results which were previously known to be deducible rigorously only using heavy functional analysis, including an extensive apparatus of inner products, projections and scattering operators. We have extended these results to nonnegative real parts for A = I-B, while such an extension is far from obvious if one applies the usual arguments in abstract kinetic equations theory. The present approach also seems promising since it appears to render results on the Achilles heel of abstract kinetic equations theory: inhomogeneous media.

Appendix

Let f: $[0,\tau]$ + H be continuous, $f(x) \in D(T)$ for $0 < x < \tau$, and Tf strongly differentiable on $(0,\tau)$. Then $x \models (Tf(x),f(x))$ is differentiable on $(0,\tau)$ and

$$\frac{d}{dx}(Tf(x),f(x)) = ((Tf)'(x),f(x))+(f(x),(Tf)'(x)), x \in (0,T). (18)$$

Since If is strongly differentiable rather than f, the identity is not completely trivial and a proof, however straightforward, is required. Indeed, writing

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\{(\mathrm{Tf}(x+\varepsilon),\mathrm{f}(x+\varepsilon)) - (\mathrm{Tf}(x),\mathrm{f}(x))\} =$$

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(T[f(x+\varepsilon)-f(x)],f(x+\varepsilon)) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(f(x),T[f(x+\varepsilon)-f(x)]),$$

using the strong differentiability of Tf and the (local) boundedness of f_\star Eq. (18) is easily seen to be fulfilled.

EFERENCES

- 1. R. Beals, J. Funct. Anal. 34, 1(1979).
- C. V. M. van der Mee, "Semigroup and Factorization Methods in Transport Theory" (Math. Centre Tract No. 146, Amsterdam, 1981).
- 3. W. Greenberg, C. V. M. van der Mee and P. F. Zweifel, Int. Eqs. Oper. Theor. $\underline{7}$, 60(1984).
- 4. P. F. Zweifel, Transp. Theor. Stat. Phys. 11, 183(1982/83).
- 5. K. M. Case and P. F. Zweifel, "Linear Transport Theory" (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1967).
- P. R. Halmos, "A Hilbert Space Problem Book" (Van Nostrand, New York, 1967), cf. pp. 91 and 293.
- 7. The reader is referred to Ref. [6], p. 26, for a thoughtful discussion of the distinction between invertibility in the settheoretic sense, i.e. bijectivity, and invertibility as an operator having a bounded inverse.
- 8. R. J. Hangelbroek and C. G. Lekkerkerker, SIAM J. Math. Anal. $\frac{8}{2}$, 458(1977); C. G. Lekkerkerker, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 75A, 283 (1976). In these works, half-space theory for one-speed neutron transport with isotropic scattering is discussed at great length.

- 9. W. Greenberg, C.V.M. van der Mee and W. Walus, "Strong Solutions of Stationary Equations in Abstract Kinetic Theory," SIAM J. Math. Anal., submitted.
- 10. C. V. M. van der Mee, Transp. Theor. Stat. Phys. 13, 341(1984).
- 11. $L_p((0,\tau);H)$ is the Banach space of strongly measurable functions $f:(0,\tau)\to H$ satisfying $\|f(\cdot)\|_H\in L_p(0,\tau)$, endowed with the L_p -norm. The integral in Eq. (6) is to be interpreted as a Bochner integral.
- 12. In fact, $\omega(\mathbf{x}) = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathbf{x}T} \mathbf{1}_{Q_{+} \varphi + \mathbf{e}} (\tau \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{T}^{-1}_{Q_{-} \varphi + \int_{0}^{T} H(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{y}) g(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}}$, where we have to assume $\| g(\mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{y}) \|_{H} \le M |\mathbf{x} \mathbf{y}|^{\gamma}$ for some M<* and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ with $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in [0, \tau]$.
- 13. R. Beals, J. Math. Phys. 22, 954(1981); 24, 1932(1983).
- 14. R. Beals and V. Protopopescu, J. Stat. Phys. 32, 565(1983).
- 15. R. Beals, J. Diff. Eqs. 56, 391(1985).
- Ref. [5], Appendix D; also K. M. Case and P. F. Zweifel, J. Math. Phys. 4, 1376(1963), and T. W. Mullikin and H. D. Victory, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 58, 605(1977).
- 17. J. W. Hovenier and C. V. M. van der Mee, Astron. Astrophys. $\underline{128}$, 1(1983).
- 18. T. A. Germogenova and N. V. Konovalov, "The Spectrum of the Characteristic Equation in the Theory of Transfer with Polarization Taken into Account" (Inst. Appl. Math., Acad. Sci. U.S.S.R., Moscow, Preprint no. 62, 1978). [Russian].
- 19. C. V. M. van der Mee, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 6, 393(1984).
- R. Beals, "The Multi-dimensional Linear Transport Equation," Unpublished manuscript, 1984.
- 21. The proof of Ref. [18] uses positive cone arguments. A simple proof, requiring no such reasoning, has been given recently by J. W. Hovenier and C. V. M. van der Mee (in preparation). In both of these references the statement appears in the form of inequalities for certain expansion coefficients (related to the eigenvalues of B) and must be rephrased in the present context.

Received: November 15, 1984 Revised: April 3, 1985