

PARTITION OF THE POTENTIAL OF THE
ONE-DIMENSIONAL SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

By

Tuncay Aktosun

and

Cornelis van der Mee

IMA Preprint Series # 1331

August 1995

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND ITS APPLICATIONS
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

514 Vincent Hall
206 Church Street S.E.
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

PARTITION OF THE POTENTIAL OF THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

Tuncay Aktosun

Department of Mathematics
North Dakota State University
Fargo, ND 58105

Cornelis van der Mee

Department of Physics and Astronomy
Free University
Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Abstract: The one-dimensional Schrödinger equation is considered when the potential and its first moment are absolutely integrable. The transmission coefficient vanishes at zero energy in the generic case, and it never vanishes in the exceptional case. It is shown that any nontrivial exceptional potential can always be fragmented into two generic potentials. Furthermore, any nontrivial potential, generic or exceptional, can be fragmented into all generic pieces in infinitely many ways. The results remain valid when Dirac delta functions are included in the potential, in which case even the trivial potential can be fragmented into generic pieces.

PACS Numbers: 03.65.Nk, 03.80.+r

Consider the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation

$$(1) \quad \frac{d^2\psi(k, x)}{dx^2} + k^2\psi(k, x) = V(x)\psi(k, x),$$

where k^2 is energy, x is the space coordinate, and $V(x)$ is the potential; appropriate units are used in which $\hbar = 1$ and $m = 1/2$. When the potential is absolutely integrable, there are two linearly independent solutions $f_l(k, x)$ and $f_r(k, x)$ of (1) known as the Jost solutions from the left and from the right, respectively, satisfying the boundary conditions

$$f_l(k, x) = \begin{cases} e^{ikx} + o(1), & x \rightarrow +\infty, \\ \frac{1}{T(k)}e^{ikx} + \frac{L(k)}{T(k)}e^{-ikx} + o(1), & x \rightarrow -\infty, \end{cases}$$

$$f_r(k, x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{T(k)}e^{-ikx} + \frac{R(k)}{T(k)}e^{ikx} + o(1), & x \rightarrow +\infty, \\ e^{-ikx} + o(1), & x \rightarrow -\infty, \end{cases}$$

where $T(k)$ is the transmission coefficient and $R(k)$ and $L(k)$ are the reflection coefficients from the right and from the left, respectively. The scattering matrix associated with (1) is defined as

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{S}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} T(k) & R(k) \\ L(k) & T(k) \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have

$$(3) \quad R(k)T(-k) + L(-k)T(k) = 0.$$

We will assume that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx (1 + |x|)|V(x)|$ is finite. For such potentials, the corresponding scattering matrix is well understood. Generically, the transmission coefficient vanishes linearly as $k \rightarrow 0$ and $R(0) = L(0) = -1$. In the exceptional case, we have $T(0) \neq 0$ and hence $|R(0)| = |L(0)| < 1$. In fact, the potential $V(x)$ is exceptional if and only if

$$(4) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx V(x) f_l(0, x) = 0,$$

where $f_l(k, x)$ is the corresponding Jost solution from the left. Note that (4) is equivalent to $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx V(x) f_r(0, x) = 0$ because $f_l(0, x)$ and $f_r(0, x)$ are linearly dependent in the exceptional case.

The exceptional case is unstable in the sense that a small change in the potential usually makes the case generic. As an example, consider the square-well potential: the exceptional case occurs at the exact depths when a bound state is added to the potential; at any other depth the square-well potential is generic. A zero-energy particle tunnels through an exceptional potential whereas such tunneling is impossible through a generic potential.

The distinction between the generic and exceptional cases becomes obvious when the small energy behavior of the scattering coefficients or the wavefunctions is considered [1-5]. In many instances one has to deal with quantities involving the factor $\frac{T(k)}{2ik}$; in the generic case this factor remains bounded and continuous as $k \rightarrow 0$. However, in the exceptional case, this factor behaves as $O(1/k)$ and one often has to obtain detailed estimates and prove that the remaining multiplicative terms have $o(k^\gamma)$ behavior for some $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ or $O(k)$ behavior in order to insure integrability or continuity at $k = 0$, respectively. In general, the proofs corresponding to exceptional potentials are much more difficult and elaborate than those corresponding to generic potentials. In this Letter we show that an exceptional potential can always be fragmented into two generic pieces; since the quantities related to a potential can be written in terms of those related to the fragments of this potential, the result presented here offers drastic simplifications in dealing with exceptional potentials. The reader is referred to the existing literature for additional information on the difference between the generic and exceptional cases [1-5].

Let us partition the real axis \mathbf{R} as $\mathbf{R} = \cup_{j=0}^N (x_j, x_{j+1})$, where $x_0 = -\infty$, $x_{N+1} = +\infty$, and $x_j < x_{j+1}$ for $j = 0, \dots, N$. We can then write $V(x)$ in terms of its fragments $V_{j,j+1}(x)$ as

$$(5) \quad V(x) = \sum_{j=0}^N V_{j,j+1}(x),$$

where we have defined

$$V_{j,j+1}(x) = \begin{cases} V(x), & x \in (x_j, x_{j+1}), \\ 0, & x \notin (x_j, x_{j+1}). \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathbf{S}_{j,j+1}(k)$ denote the scattering matrix associated with the potential $V_{j,j+1}(x)$; in analogy with (2) we have

$$\mathbf{S}_{j,j+1}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} T_{j,j+1}(k) & R_{j,j+1}(k) \\ L_{j,j+1}(k) & T_{j,j+1}(k) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $T_{j,j+1}(k)$ is the transmission coefficient and $R_{j,j+1}(k)$ and $L_{j,j+1}(k)$ are the reflection coefficients from the right and from the left, respectively, corresponding to the potential $V_{j,j+1}(x)$. It is known [6] that $\mathbf{S}(k)$ can be written explicitly in terms of $\mathbf{S}_{j,j+1}(k)$ in the form of the matrix product

$$(6) \quad \Lambda(k) = \Lambda_{0,1}(k) \Lambda_{1,2}(k) \cdots \Lambda_{N,N+1}(k),$$

where

$$\Lambda(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & R(k) \\ \frac{T(k)}{L(k)} & \frac{T(k)}{1} \\ \frac{L(k)}{T(k)} & \frac{1}{T(-k)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_{j,j+1}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & R_{j,j+1}(k) \\ \frac{T_{j,j+1}(k)}{L_{j,j+1}(k)} & \frac{T_{j,j+1}(k)}{1} \\ \frac{L_{j,j+1}(k)}{T_{j,j+1}(k)} & \frac{1}{T_{j,j+1}(-k)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the special case $N = 2$, from the factorization formula (6), with the help of (3), we obtain

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{T(k)} = \frac{1 - R_{0,1}(k) L_{1,2}(k)}{T_{0,1}(k) T_{1,2}(k)}, \quad \frac{1}{T_{1,2}(k)} = \frac{1 - L_{0,1}(-k) L(k)}{T_{0,1}(-k) T(k)}.$$

The following proposition shows that a potential is necessarily exceptional if both of its two fragments are exceptional, and that it is necessarily generic if one fragment is exceptional and the other is generic.

Proposition Consider the potential $V(x)$ given in (5). If all the fragments are exceptional, then $V(x)$ is exceptional. In the special case $N = 2$, if one fragment is generic and the other is exceptional, then $V(x)$ is generic; if both fragments are generic then $V(x)$ can be either generic or exceptional. For $N \geq 3$, if at least one of the fragments is generic, then $V(x)$ can be either generic or exceptional.

PROOF: We can omit trivial fragments in the summation (5) and assume that none of $V_{j,j+1}(x)$ are identically zero. For $N = 2$ from (7) we see that if neither of $T_{0,1}(k)$ and $T_{1,2}(k)$ vanish at $k = 0$, then the transmission coefficient $T(k)$ corresponding to $V(x)$

cannot vanish at $k = 0$. Using induction, it then follows that if none of the transmission coefficients corresponding to $V_{j,j+1}(x)$ vanish at $k = 0$, then the transmission coefficient corresponding to $V(x)$ cannot vanish at $k = 0$, i.e. if all $V_{j,j+1}(x)$ are exceptional, then $V(x)$ is also exceptional. When $N = 2$, from (7) it follows that, if $T(0) \neq 0$ and $T_{0,1}(0) \neq 0$, we must have $T_{1,2}(0) \neq 0$. Consequently, if both $V_{0,1}(x)$ and $V(x)$ are exceptional, $V_{1,2}(x)$ has to be exceptional. A similar argument shows that if $T(0) \neq 0$ and $T_{1,2}(0) \neq 0$, we must have $T_{0,1}(0) \neq 0$. Hence, if one fragment is generic and the other is exceptional, the total potential must be generic. The example given below shows that if both fragments are generic then the total potential can be generic or exceptional. Through induction, we then obtain the result that, for $N \geq 3$, if at least one of $V_{j,j+1}(x)$ is generic, then $V(x)$ can be generic or exceptional. ■

The next example shows that in the factorization formula, if both of the fragments of $V(x)$ are generic, then $V(x)$ can be generic or exceptional.

Example Let $\theta(x)$ denote the Heaviside function, i.e. $\theta(x) = 1$ if $x > 0$ and $\theta(x) = 0$ if $x < 0$. Assume

$$V_{0,1}(x) = \frac{-4e^{\sqrt{2}x}}{(1 + e^{\sqrt{2}x})^2} \theta(-x), \quad V_{1,2}(x) = \frac{-4e^{-\sqrt{2}x}}{(1 + e^{-\sqrt{2}x})^2} \theta(x).$$

Both $V_{0,1}(x)$ and $V_{1,2}(x)$ are generic, and in fact we have

$$T_{0,1}(k) = T_{1,2}(k) = \frac{k(k + i/\sqrt{2})}{k^2 + 1/4}, \quad R_{0,1}(k) = L_{1,2}(k) = \frac{-1}{4k^2 + 1}.$$

Note that corresponding to $V(x) = V_{0,1}(x) + V_{1,2}(x)$ we have

$$T(k) = \frac{k + i/\sqrt{2}}{k - i/\sqrt{2}}, \quad R(k) = 0,$$

which is an exceptional potential. On the other hand, let

$$(8) \quad V_{0,1}(x) = \frac{u(x)}{v(x)^2} \theta(-x), \quad V_{1,2}(x) = \frac{-e^{-\sqrt{2}x}}{(1 + e^{-\sqrt{2}x}/4)^2} \theta(x),$$

both of which are generic with the transmission coefficients

$$T_{0,1}(k) = \frac{50k(k + i)(\sqrt{2}k + i)}{50\sqrt{2}k^3 + 70ik^2 + 13\sqrt{2}k + 31i}, \quad T_{1,2}(k) = \frac{25k(\sqrt{2}k + i)}{25\sqrt{2}k^2 + 15ik + 4\sqrt{2}}.$$

In (8) the quantities $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ are given by

$$u(x) = 8 \left[4(3 + 2\sqrt{2})e^{\sqrt{2}x} - 64e^{2x} + 8e^{(2+\sqrt{2})x} - e^{(2+2\sqrt{2})x} + 4(3 - 2\sqrt{2})e^{(4+\sqrt{2})x} \right],$$

$$v(x) = 8 + 8e^{2x} - (3 + 2\sqrt{2})e^{\sqrt{2}x} - (3 - 2\sqrt{2})e^{(2+2\sqrt{2})x}.$$

The sum $V(x) = V_{0,1}(x) + V_{1,2}(x)$ is a generic potential with scattering coefficients

$$T(k) = \frac{2k(k+i)}{2k^2+1}, \quad R(k) = \frac{-1}{2k^2+1}.$$

By a trivial potential we mean a potential that vanishes almost everywhere. The next theorem shows that any nontrivial potential, generic or exceptional, can be decomposed, in an infinite number of ways, as in (5), where all the fragments are generic.

Theorem Any nontrivial potential, generic or exceptional, can be fragmented such that all the pieces are generic. There are infinitely many ways of fragmenting a given potential into generic pieces.

PROOF: Consider any fragmentation (5). Since trivial fragments can be omitted from (5), we can assume that none of the fragments of $V(x)$ vanish identically. If any one of the fragments is exceptional, we can fragment that piece further into two generic subpieces as follows. Assume the piece $V_{j,j+1}(x)$ is exceptional. Let $f_{l;j,j+1}(k, x)$ be the corresponding Jost solution from the left. From (4) we have

$$(9) \quad \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} dx V_{j,j+1}(x) f_{l;j,j+1}(0, x) = 0.$$

Then for any $z \in (x_j, x_{j+1})$, consider the fragmentation of $V_{j,j+1}(x)$ as

$$(10) \quad V_{j,j+1}(x) = \theta(z - x_j) V_{j,j+1}(x) + \theta(x_{j+1} - z) V_{j,j+1}(x).$$

There are infinitely many such z . The fragments given in (10) have to be generic for almost every $z \in (x_j, x_{j+1})$; otherwise, as seen by replacing the upper integration limit in (9) by z , we could conclude that $V_{j,j+1}(z) f_{l;j,j+1}(0, z) = 0$, which cannot happen unless $V_{j,j+1}(x)$ is almost everywhere zero. ■

The fragmentation of an exceptional potential into two generic pieces has important consequences in scattering, inverse scattering, and wave propagation, where the governing equations are related to the Schrödinger equation or its variants. One such differential equation is given by

$$(11) \quad \frac{d^2\psi(k, x)}{dx^2} + \frac{k^2}{c(x)^2} \psi(k, x) = Q(x) \psi(k, x),$$

or its time domain equivalent

$$(12) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial^2 x} - \frac{1}{c(x)^2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial^2 t} = Q(x) \phi(t, x).$$

These equations describe the quantum mechanical behavior of a particle when the potential also depends on the particle's energy. They also describe the propagation of waves in a one-dimensional nonhomogeneous, nonabsorptive medium where the wavespeed is $c(x)$ and the restoring force density is $Q(x)$. These equations can be analyzed by transforming them into (1) through local Liouville transformations [7]. In the special (but still significant) case $Q(x) = 0$, the potential in the transformed Schrödinger equation is always exceptional. One important outcome of the theorem given here is that it is possible to choose all the local Liouville transformations in such a way that all the resulting fragments of the transformed Schrödinger equation are generic. This should offer drastic simplifications in the analysis of (11) and (12).

As an illustrative example, consider (3.25) of Ref. [7] where the Jost solutions and their space derivatives are expressed as a product of matrices, each of which is expressed in terms of the quantities related to one fragment only; in that reference the problem of the recovery of the wavespeed from the scattering data is considered when the properties of the medium change abruptly at a finite number of interfaces. Some of the multiplicative matrices in (3.25) of Ref. [7] contain the factor $\frac{T(k)}{2ik}$. By fragmenting the exceptional pieces into generic ones, it becomes obvious that the Jost solutions and their space derivatives are continuous at $k = 0$; this result is difficult to obtain without fragmenting an exceptional potential into two generic pieces. With the use of the results presented here, we expect a simplification of many proofs involving exceptional potentials for the Schrödinger equation and the wave equation with variable speed.

The results presented here are also valid if we allow the potential $V(x)$ to contain a finite number of Dirac delta functions. In fact, when delta functions are included, our theorem can be extended even to include trivial potentials. We will now elaborate on the inclusion of delta function potentials.

The factorization formula (6) remains unchanged if some or all the fragments of potential are delta functions. The potential $V(x) = \alpha\delta(x - a)$ corresponds to

$$(13) \quad T(k) = \frac{k}{k + i\alpha/2}, \quad R(k) = \frac{-i\alpha/2}{k + i\alpha/2} e^{2ika}, \quad L(k) = \frac{-i\alpha/2}{k + i\alpha/2} e^{-2ika}.$$

Note that a delta function potential is always generic. Since the support of a delta function potential is a point, it is possible to decompose $\alpha\delta(x - a)$ in an arbitrary manner in the form $V(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N V_j(x)$, where $V_j(x) = \alpha_j\delta(x - a)$ in such a way that $\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j = \alpha$. Using (6) and (13) we obtain

$$(14) \quad \frac{1}{k} \begin{bmatrix} k + \frac{i}{2}\alpha & \frac{i}{2}\alpha e^{2ika} \\ -\frac{i}{2}\alpha e^{-2ika} & k - \frac{i}{2}\alpha \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{k^N} \prod_{j=1}^N \begin{bmatrix} k + \frac{i}{2}\alpha_j & \frac{i}{2}\alpha_j e^{2ika} \\ -\frac{i}{2}\alpha_j e^{-2ika} & k - \frac{i}{2}\alpha_j \end{bmatrix},$$

where the matrix product is commutative. We can write (14) in the equivalent form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{i\alpha}{2k} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{2ika} \\ -e^{-2ika} & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{k^N} \prod_{j=1}^N \begin{bmatrix} k + \frac{i}{2}\alpha_j & \frac{i}{2}\alpha_j e^{2ika} \\ -\frac{i}{2}\alpha_j e^{-2ika} & k - \frac{i}{2}\alpha_j \end{bmatrix},$$

which holds for any positive integer N , $a \in \mathbf{R}$, and real numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$ with sum α .

We can write the zero potential, which is an exceptional potential with $T(k) = 1$, as the sum of two delta function potentials, which are always generic. Letting $V_1(x) = \alpha\delta(x - a)$ and $V_2(x) = -\alpha\delta(x - a)$, from (14) we obtain

$$(15) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{i\alpha}{2k} & \frac{i\alpha}{2k} e^{2ika} \\ -\frac{i\alpha}{2k} e^{-2ika} & 1 - \frac{i\alpha}{2k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \frac{i\alpha}{2k} & \frac{-i\alpha}{2k} e^{2ika} \\ \frac{i\alpha}{2k} e^{-2ika} & 1 + \frac{i\alpha}{2k} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can generalize (15) to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{j=1}^N \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{i\alpha_j}{2k} & \frac{i\alpha_j}{2k} e^{2ika} \\ -\frac{i\alpha_j}{2k} e^{-2ika} & 1 - \frac{i\alpha_j}{2k} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j = 0,$$

where N is an arbitrary natural number, a is an arbitrary real number, and α_j are arbitrary real numbers satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j = 0$. Thus, when delta function potentials are included, the theorem presented becomes true even for the trivial potential: the zero potential can be fragmented into delta function potentials in an infinite number of ways.

Acknowledgments The first author is grateful for the hospitality and support from the IMA at the University of Minnesota, where this work started. The research leading to this article was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. D. Faddeev, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **2**, 139 (1964).
- [2] P. Deift and E. Trubowitz, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **32**, 121 (1979).
- [3] R. G. Newton, J. Math. Phys. **21**, 493 (1980).
- [4] V. A. Marchenko, *Sturm-Liouville Operators and Applications*, Birkhäuser, Basel (1986).
- [5] K. Chadan and P. C. Sabatier, *Inverse Problems in Quantum Scattering Theory*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York (1989).
- [6] T. Aktosun, J. Math. Phys. **33**, 3865 (1992).
- [7] T. Aktosun, M. Klaus, and C. van der Mee, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 2880 (1995).

Recent IMA Preprints

#	Author/s	Title
1238	Z. Chen,	Finite element methods for the black oil model in petroleum reservoirs
1239	G. Bao & A. Friedman,	Inverse problems for scattering by periodic structure
1240	G. Bao,	Some inverse problems in partial differential equations
1241	G. Bao,	Diffractive optics in periodic structures: The TM polarization
1242	C.C. Lim & D.A. Schmidt,	On noneven digraphs and symplectic pairs
1243	H.M. Soner, S.E. Shreve & J. Cvitanić,	There is no nontrivial hedging portfolio for option pricing with transaction costs
1244	D.L. Russell & B-Yu Zhang,	Exact controllability and stabilizability of the Korteweg-de Vries equation
1245	B. Morton, D. Enns & B-Yu Zhang,	Stability of dynamic inversion control laws applied to nonlinear aircraft pitch-axis models
1246	S. Hansen & G. Weiss,	New results on the operator Carleson measure criterion
1247	V.A. Malyshev & F.M. Spieksma,	Intrinsic convergence rate of countable Markov chains
1248	G. Bao, D.C. Dobson & J.A. Cox,	Mathematical studies in rigorous grating theory
1249	G. Bao & W.W. Symes,	On the sensitivity of solutions of hyperbolic equations to the coefficients
1250	D.A. Huntley & S.H. Davis,	Oscillatory and cellular mode coupling in rapid directional solidification
1251	M.J. Donahue, L. Gurvits, C. Darken & E. Sontag,	Rates of convex approximation in non-Hilbert spaces
1252	A. Friedman & B. Hu,	A Stefan problem for multi-dimensional reaction diffusion systems
1253	J.L. Bona & B-Y. Zhang,	The initial-value problem for the forced Korteweg-de Vries equation
1254	A. Friedman & R. Gulliver,	Organizers, Mathematical modeling for instructors
1255	S. Kichenassamy,	The prolongation formula for tensor fields
1256	S. Kichenassamy,	Fuchsian equations in Sobolev spaces and blow-up
1257	H.S. Dumas, L. Dumas, & F. Golse,	On the mean free path for a periodic array of spherical obstacles
1258	C. Liu,	Global estimates for solutions of partial differential equations
1259	C. Liu,	Exponentially growing solutions for inverse problems in PDE
1260	Mary Ann Horn & I. Lasiecka,	Nonlinear boundary stabilization of parallelly connected Kirchhoff plates
1261	B. Cockburn & H. Gau,	A posteriori error estimates for general numerical methods for scalar conservation laws
1262	B. Cockburn & P-A. Gremaud,	A priori error estimates for numerical methods for scalar conservation laws. Part I: The general approach
1263	R. Spigler & M. Vianello,	Convergence analysis of the semi-implicit euler method for abstract evolution equations
1264	R. Spigler & M. Vianello,	WKB-type approximation for second-order differential equations in C^* -algebras
1265	M. Menshikov & R.J. Williams,	Passage-time moments for continuous non-negative stochastic processes and applications
1266	C. Mazza,	On the storage capacity of nonlinear neural networks
1267	Z. Chen, R.E. Ewing & R. Lazarov,	Domain decomposition algorithms for mixed methods for second order elliptic problems
1268	Z. Chen, M. Espedal & R.E. Ewing,	Finite element analysis of multiphase flow in groundwater hydrology
1269	Z. Chen, R.E. Ewing, Y.A. Kuznetsov, R.D. Lazarov & S. Maliassov,	Multilevel preconditioners for mixed methods for second order elliptic problems
1270	S. Kichenassamy & G.K. Srinivasan,	The structure of WTC expansions and applications
1271	A. Zinger,	Positiveness of Wigner quasi-probability density and characterization of Gaussian distribution
1272	V. Malkin & G. Papanicolaou,	On self-focusing of short laser pulses
1273	J.N. Kutz & W.L. Kath,	Stability of pulses in nonlinear optical fibers using phase-sensitive amplifiers
1274	S.K. Patch,	Recursive recovery of a family of Markov transition probabilities from boundary value data
1275	C. Liu,	The completeness of plane waves
1276	Z. Chen & R.E. Ewing,	Stability and convergence of a finite element method for reactive transport in ground water
1277	Z. Chen & Do Y. Kwak,	The analysis of multigrid algorithms for nonconforming and mixed methods for second order elliptic problems
1278	Z. Chen,	Expanded mixed finite element methods for quasilinear second order elliptic problems II
1279	M.A. Horn & W. Littman,	Boundary control of a Schrödinger equation with nonconstant principal part
1280	R.E. Ewing, Yu.A. Kuznetsov, R.D. Lazarov & S. Maliassov,	Substructuring preconditioning for finite element approximations of second order elliptic problems. I. Nonconforming linear elements for the Poisson equation in a parallelepiped
1281	S. Maliassov,	Substructuring preconditioning for finite element approximations of second order elliptic problems. II. Mixed method for an elliptic operator with scalar tensor
1282	V. Jakšić & C.-A. Pillet,	On model for quantum friction II. Fermi's golden rule and dynamics at positive temperatures
1283	V. M. Malkin,	Kolmogorov and nonstationary spectra of optical turbulence
1284	E.G. Kalnins, V.B. Kuznetsov & W. Miller, Jr.,	Separation of variables and the XXZ Gaudin magnet

- 1285 **E.G. Kalnins & W. Miller, Jr.**, A note on tensor products of q -algebra representations and orthogonal polynomials
- 1286 **E.G. Kalnins & W. Miller, Jr.**, q -algebra representations of the Euclidean, pseudo-Euclidean and oscillator algebras, and their tensor products
- 1287 **L.A. Pastur**, Spectral and probabilistic aspects of matrix models
- 1288 **K. Kastella**, Discrimination gain to optimize detection and classification
- 1289 **L.A. Peletier & W.C. Troy**, Spatial patterns described by the Extended Fisher-Kolmogorov (EFK) equation: Periodic solutions
- 1290 **A. Friedman & Y. Liu**, Propagation of cracks in elastic media
- 1291 **A. Friedman & C. Huang**, Averaged motion of charged particles in a curved strip
- 1292 **G. R. Sell**, Global attractors for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations
- 1293 **C. Liu**, A uniqueness result for a general class of inverse problems
- 1294 **H-O. Kreiss**, Numerical solution of problems with different time scales II
- 1295 **B. Cockburn, G. Gripenberg, S-O. Londen**, On convergence to entropy solutions of a single conservation law
- 1296 **S-H. Yu**, On stability of discrete shock profiles for conservative finite difference scheme
- 1297 **H. Behncke & P. Rejto**, A limiting absorption principle for separated Dirac operators with Wigner Von Neumann type potentials
- 1298 **R. Lipton B. Vernescu**, Composites with imperfect interface
- 1299 **E. Casas**, Pontryagin's principle for state-constrained boundary control problems of semilinear parabolic equations
- 1300 **G.R. Sell**, References on dynamical systems
- 1301 **J. Zhang**, Swelling and dissolution of polymer: A free boundary problem
- 1302 **J. Zhang**, A nonlinear nonlocal multi-dimensional conservation law
- 1303 **M.E. Taylor**, Estimates for approximate solutions to acoustic inverse scattering problems
- 1304 **J. Kim & D. Sheen**, A priori estimates for elliptic boundary value problems with nonlinear boundary conditions
- 1305 **B. Engquist & E. Luo**, New coarse grid operators for highly oscillatory coefficient elliptic problems
- 1306 **A. Boutet de Monvel & I. Egorova**, On the almost periodicity of solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the cantor type spectrum
- 1307 **A. Boutet de Monvel & V. Georgescu**, Boundary values of the resolvent of a self-adjoint operator: Higher order estimates
- 1308 **S.K. Patch**, Diffuse tomography modulo Graßmann and Laplace
- 1309 **A. Friedman & J.J.L. Velázquez**, Liouville type theorems for fourth order elliptic equations in a half plane
- 1310 **T. Aktosun, M. Klaus & C. van der Mee**, Recovery of discontinuities in a nonhomogeneous medium
- 1311 **V. Bondarevsky**, On the global regularity problem for 3-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations
- 1312 **M. Cheney & D. Isaacson**, Inverse problems for a perturbed dissipative half-space
- 1313 **B. Cockburn, D.A. Jones & E.S. Titi**, Determining degrees of freedom for nonlinear dissipative equations
- 1314 **B. Engquist & E. Luo**, Convergence of a multigrid method for elliptic equations with highly oscillatory coefficients
- 1315 **L. Pastur & M. Shcherbina**, Universality of the local eigenvalue statistics for a class of unitary invariant random matrix ensembles
- 1316 **V. Jakšić, S. Molchanov & L. Pastur**, On the propagation properties of surface waves
- 1317 **J. Nečas, M. Ružička & V. Šverák**, On self-similar solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations
- 1318 **S. Stojanovic**, Remarks on $W^{2,p}$ -solutions of bilateral obstacle problems
- 1319 **E. Luo & H-O. Kreiss**, Pseudospectral vs. Finite difference methods for initial value problems with discontinuous coefficients
- 1320 **V.E. Grikurov**, Soliton's rebuilding in one-dimensional Schrödinger model with polynomial nonlinearity
- 1321 **J.M. Harrison & R.J. Williams**, A multiclass closed queueing network with unconventional heavy traffic behavior
- 1322 **M.E. Taylor**, Microlocal analysis on Morrey spaces
- 1323 **C. Huang**, Homogenization of biharmonic equations in domains perforated with tiny holes
- 1324 **C. Liu**, An inverse obstacle problem: A uniqueness theorem for spheres
- 1325 **M. Luskin**, Approximation of a laminated microstructure for a rotationally invariant, double well energy density
- 1326 **Rakesh & P. Sacks**, Impedance inversion from transmission data for the wave equation
- 1327 **O. Lafitte**, Diffraction for a Neumann boundary condition
- 1328 **E. Sobel, K. Lange, J.R. O'Connell & D.E. Weeks**, Haplotyping algorithms
- 1329 **B. Cockburn, D.A. Jones & E.S. Titi**, Estimating the number of asymptotic degrees of freedom for nonlinear dissipative systems
- 1330 **T. Aktosun**, Inverse Schrödinger scattering on the line with partial knowledge of the potential
- 1331 **T. Aktosun & C. van der Mee**, Partition of the potential of the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation
- 1332 **B. Engquist & E. Luo**, Convergence of the multigrid method with a wavelet coarse grid operator
- 1333 **V. Jakšić & C.-A. Pillet**, Ergodic properties of the Spin-Boson system
- 1334 **S.K. Patch**, Recursive solution for diffuse tomographic systems of arbitrary size
- 1335 **J.C. Bronski**, Semiclassical eigenvalue distribution of the non self-adjoint Zakharov-Shabat eigenvalue problem
- 1336 **J.C. Cockburn**, Bitangential structured interpolation theory